EXHIBIT C

FILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 03/12/2024 01:35 PM

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 2 Case 1:24-cv-05217-KPF Document 1-1 Filed 07/10/24 Page 2 of 72
RECEIVED NYSCEF: 03/12/2024

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK NEW YORK COUNTY

WILLIAM DAY; DOUGLAS DEAN; ARTURO DEARO; LAWRENCE DEBELAK; FRANK DEGAETANO; JOSEPH DEHNICK; ALEXANDRA DEJESUS; JENNIFER DEL GIUDICE; HARVEY DELGADO; RAEDINE DELP; JOHN DELUCA; JOSEPH DEMARK; KEVIN DEMARQUE; MICHAEL DEMATTEO; RUSSELL DEMEBINA; **ROSEMERI** DEMPSEY; **NICHOLAS** DENARDO; ELIZABETH DENNIS; FREDERIC DEPARIS; AMY DERDICH; ROBERT DERSHAM; RICHARD DESORDI; DEVOS: JANET DEWITT: **MONIKA** DIANOVSKY; VANESSA DIAZ; **JAMES** DIBARI; KAREN DICKERSON; PAMELA DICKINSON-MOSBY; LLOYD W. DIKES; KURT DILLARD; OLIVER DILLARD JR; BRITTNEY DILLMAN; ANNA DINKINS; DOMINICK DIPIETRO; STACY DOBRY-RIORDAN; LYDIA DODGE; DODGE; DODSON; MONTY **JEFFREY EUGENE MELVIN** DOELL; FRANK DOLBERRY; **JOHN** DOMINGUEZ; SAMANTHA DOMIO; SUSAN DOMM; EDWIN DONAHUE; CLYDE DONLEY; MICHAEL DORTCH; JAMES DOTY; VICTOR DOUGHERTY; ALFRED DOUGLAS: THERESA DOUGLAS: DEBORAH DOUGLASS; JOHN DOYLE; LARRY DOYLE; JOSEPH DOZIER; PAULA DOZITSKY; RANDALL DRABCZUK; JOHN DRAWBOND; DUANE DRYE; MARVIN DUBOIS; COURTNEY DUET; PATRICK DUFFEY; DARIN DULL; DUFFEY; JACQUELINE DUGAN; DUNCAN; KIMBERLEY ALBERT **DUNFORD:** WINFIELD DUNN; LUCIE DUNNE; ANDRE DUPONT; JOHN DUPREE; PINKNEY DURHAM; PATRICIA DYCHES: CHARLES DYKSTRA; AND **ANDRE** DZIEGELEWSKI.

Index No. _____/2024

COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Trial by jury is desired in the County of New York

Venue is designated pursuant to CPLR § 503(a) & (c) in that the causes of action occurred in this county.

Plaintiffs,

-vs -

THE 3M COMPANY, f/k/a Minnesota Mining and Manufacturing Co.;
AGC CHEMICALS AMERICAS INC.;
AMEREX CORPORATION;
ARKEMA INC.;
ARCHROMA U.S. INC.;
BUCKEYE FIRE EQUIPMENT COMPANY;
CHEMDESIGN PRODUCTS INC.;

ILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 03/12/2024 01:35 PM INDEX NO. 152229/2024

SCEF DOC. NO. 2 Case 1:24-cv-05217-KPF Document 1-1 Filed 07/10/24 Page 3 of 72 NYSCEF: 03/12/2024

CHEMGUARD INC.;

CHEMICALS, INC.;

CLARIANT CORPORATION, individually and as successor

in interest to Sandoz Chemical Corporation;

CORTEVA, INC., individually and as successor in interest to

DuPont Chemical Solutions Enterprise;

DEEPWATER CHEMICALS, INC.;

DUPONT DE NEMOURS INC., individually and as

successor in interest to DuPont Chemical Solutions

Enterprise;

DYNAX CORPORATION;

E. I. DUPONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY,

individually and as successor in interest to DuPont Chemical

Solutions Enterprise;

NATION FORD CHEMICAL COMPANY;

THE CHEMOURS COMPANY, individually and as

successor in interest to DuPont Chemical Solutions

Enterprise;

THE CHEMOURS COMPANY FC, LLC, individually and

as successor in interest to DuPont Chemical Solutions

Enterprise;

TYCO FIRE PRODUCTS, LP, individually and as successor

in interest to The Ansul Company; and

DOE DEFENDANTS 1-20, fictitious names whose present

identities are unknown,

Defendants.

COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiffs; WILLIAM DAY; DOUGLAS DEAN; ARTURO DEARO; LAWRENCE DEBELAK; FRANK DEGAETANO; JOSEPH DEHNICK; ALEXANDRA DEJESUS; JENNIFER DEL GIUDICE; HARVEY DELGADO; RAEDINE DELP; JOHN DELUCA; JOSEPH DEMARK; KEVIN DEMARQUE; MICHAEL DEMATTEO; RUSSELL DEMEBINA; ROSEMERI DEMPSEY; NICHOLAS DENARDO; ELIZABETH DENNIS; FREDERIC DEPARIS; AMY DERDICH; ROBERT DERSHAM; RICHARD DESORDI; WILLIAM DEVOS; JANET DEWITT; MONIKA DIANOVSKY; VANESSA DIAZ; JAMES DIBARI; KAREN DICKERSON; PAMELA DICKINSON-MOSBY; LLOYD W. DIKES; KURT

TILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 03/12/2024 01:35 PM INDEX NO. 152229/2024 Page 4 of 72 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 03/12/2024

DILLARD; OLIVER DILLARD JR; BRITTNEY DILLMAN; ANNA DINKINS; DOMINICK DIPIETRO; STACY DOBRY-RIORDAN; LYDIA DODGE; MONTY DODGE; JEFFREY DODSON; EUGENE MELVIN DOELL; FRANK DOLBERRY; JOHN DOMINGUEZ; SAMANTHA DOMIO; SUSAN DOMM; EDWIN DONAHUE; CLYDE DONLEY; MICHAEL DORTCH; JAMES DOTY; VICTOR DOUGHERTY; ALFRED DOUGLAS; THERESA DOUGLAS; DEBORAH DOUGLASS; JOHN DOYLE; LARRY DOYLE; JOSEPH DOZIER; PAULA DOZITSKY; RANDALL DRABCZUK; JOHN DRAWBOND; DUANE DRYE; MARVIN DUBOIS; COURTNEY DUET; PATRICK DUFFEY; PATTY DUFFEY; JACQUELINE DUGAN; DARIN DULL; KIMBERLEY DUNCAN; ALBERT DUNFORD; WINFIELD DUNN; LUCIE DUNNE; ANDRE DUPONT; JOHN DUPREE; PINKNEY DURHAM; PATRICIA DYCHES; CHARLES DYKSTRA; AND ANDRE DZIEGELEWSKI, ("Plaintiffs"), by and through the undersigned counsel, hereby files this Complaint against Defendants, 3M COMPANY, f/k/a Minnesota Mining and Manufacturing Co., AGC CHEMICALS AMERICAS INC., AMEREX CORPORATION, ARKEMA INC., ARCHROMA U.S. INC., BUCKEYE FIRE EQUIPMENT COMPANY, CHEMDESIGN PRODUCTS INC., CHEMGUARD INC., CHEMICALS, INC., CLARIANT CORPORATION, CORTEVA, INC., DEEPWATER CHEMICALS, INC., DUPONT DE NEMOURS INC., DYNAX CORPORATION, E. I. DUPONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY, NATION FORD CHEMICAL COMPANY, THE CHEMOURS COMPANY, THE CHEMOURS COMPANY FC, LLC, and TYCO FIRE PRODUCTS, LP, and DOE DEFENDANTS 1-20, fictitious names whose present identifies are unknown (collectively "Defendants") and alleges, upon information and belief, as follows:

INTRODUCTION

1. This action arises from the foreseeable contamination of groundwater by the use of

aqueous film-forming foam ("AFFF") products that contained per- and poly-fluoroalkyl

substances ("PFAS"), including perfluoro octane sulfonate ("PFOS") and perfluorooctanoic acid

("PFOA").

2. PFOS and PFOA are fluor surfactants that repel oil, grease, and water. PFOS,

PFOA, and/or their chemical precursors, are or were components of AFFF products, which are

firefighting suppressant agents used in training and firefighting activities for fighting Class B fires.

Class B fires include fires involving hydrocarbon fuels such as petroleum or other flammable

liquids.

3. PFOS and PFOA are mobile, persist indefinitely in the environment, bioaccumulate

in individual organisms and humans, and biomagnify up the food chain. PFOS and PFOA are also

associated with multiple and significant adverse health effects in humans, including but not limited

to kidney cancer, testicular cancer, high cholesterol, thyroid disease, ulcerative colitis, and

pregnancy-induced hypertension.

4. At various times from the 1960s through today, Defendants designed,

manufactured, marketed, distributed, and/or sold AFFF products containing PFOS, PFOA, and/or

their chemical precursors, and/or designed, manufactured, marketed, distributed, and/or sold the

fluor surfactants and/or per fluorinated chemicals ("PFCs") contained in AFFF (collectively,

"AFFF/Component Products").

5. Defendants designed, manufactured, marketed, distributed, and/or sold

AFFF/Component Products with the knowledge that these toxic compounds would be released

into the environment during fire protection, training, and response activities, even when used as directed and intended by Defendants.

- 6. Since its creation in the 1960s, AFFF designed, manufactured, marketed, distributed, and/or sold by Defendants, and/or that contained fluorosurfactants and/or PFCs designed, manufactured, marketed, distributed, and/or sold by Defendants, used as directed and intended by Defendants, and subsequently released into the environment during fire protection, training, and response activities, resulting in widespread PFAS contamination.
- 7. Due to this contamination, Plaintiffs have suffered real personal injuries, bioaccumulation of PFAS in their bodies, property damage and the diminution in value of their properties as a result of the release of PFAS to their water supplies.
- 8. Plaintiffs have suffered an assortment of diseases and medical conditions as a direct result of their exposure to the PFAS contamination of their water supply.
- 9. Plaintiffs, as residents and those who visited, worked, or otherwise dwelled in the Site area, have been unknowingly exposed for many years to PFAS, including concentrations hazardous to their health.
- 10. Plaintiffs' unwitting exposure to PFAS in their water supply as a result of the Defendants' conduct, is the direct and proximate cause of Plaintiffs' injuries.
- 11. Plaintiffs' property has been damaged as a result of the presence of the PFAS in their water supply.
- 12. Plaintiffs seek recovery from Defendants for injuries, damages, and losses suffered by the Plaintiffs as a result of exposure to the introduction of PFAS and other toxic substance into their water supply, and then into their properties and bodies, in an amount to be determined at trial, exclusive of interest, costs, and attorneys' fees.

FILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 03/12/2024 01:35 PM INDEX NO. 152229/202

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

13. This Court has jurisdiction because Defendant Dynax Corporation's principal place

of business is located at 103 Fairview Park Drive, Elmsford, New York 10523.

14. Venue is proper in this District under CPLR §503 (a) because the events, omissions

and harms that are the basis of Plaintiffs claims occurred in substantial party in this District.

15. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants by virtue of each Defendants'

regular and systematic contacts with New York, including, among other things, purposefully

marketing, selling and/or distributing their AFFF/Component Products to and within New York,

and because they have the requisite minimum contacts with New York necessary to

constitutionally permit the Court to exercise jurisdiction over them consistent with traditional

notions of fair play and substantial justice.

PARTIES

A. <u>Plaintiffs</u>

16. Plaintiff WILLIAM DAY resides at 15 Desert Candle Cir, Lehigh Acres, FL 33936.

Plaintiff was formerly stationed at a military base or installation where PFAS was used (hereinafter

the "Sites") and was living on base during that time. While working on base, Claimant was exposed

to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS.

Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS contamination at the

Sites, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and proximate result of

Plaintiff's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with Bladder Cancer, Ulcerative Colitis.

17. Plaintiff DOUGLAS DEAN resides at 7676 Nc Hwy 222w, Kenly, NC 27542.

Plaintiff was formerly stationed at a military base or installation where PFAS was used (hereinafter

the "Sites") and was living on base during that time. While working on base, Claimant was exposed

to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS.

ILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 03/12/2024 01:35 PM INDEX NO. 152229/202

SCEF DOC. NO. 2 Case 1:24-cv-05217-KPF Document 1-1 Filed 07/10/24 Page 8 of 72 NYSCEF: 03/12/202

Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS contamination at the Sites, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and proximate result of Plaintiff's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with Hyperlipidemia, Hypothyroidism.

- 18. Plaintiff ARTURO DEARO resides at 1335 Pullman Dr, #2106, El Paso, TX 79936. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at a military base or installation where PFAS was used (hereinafter the "Sites") and was living on base during that time. While working on base, Claimant was exposed to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS. Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS contamination at the Sites, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and proximate result of Plaintiff's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with Renal Cell Carcinoma.
- 19. Plaintiff LAWRENCE DEBELAK resides at 994 Redwood Dr, Apple Valley, MN 55124. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at a military base or installation where PFAS was used (hereinafter the "Sites") and was living on base during that time. While working on base, Claimant was exposed to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS. Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS contamination at the Sites, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and proximate result of Plaintiff's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with Hypercholesteremia, Renal Cancer, Urothelial Carcinoma.
- 20. Plaintiff FRANK DEGAETANO resides at 6918 Satin Leaf Rd, Unit 203, Naples, FL 34109. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at a military base or installation where PFAS was used (hereinafter the "Sites") and was living on base during that time. While working on base, Claimant was exposed to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS. Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS

TLED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 03/12/2024 01:35 PM INDEX NO. 152229/202

contamination at the Sites, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and

proximate result of Plaintiff's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with Goiter,

Hypercholesteremia, Hypothyroidism.

21. Plaintiff JOSEPH DEHNICK resides at 11311 NW 74 Court, Chiefland, FL 32626-.

Plaintiff was formerly stationed at a military base or installation where PFAS was used (hereinafter

the "Sites") and was living on base during that time. While working on base, Claimant was exposed

to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS.

Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS contamination at the

Sites, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and proximate result of

Plaintiff's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with Hypothyroidism, Thyroid Disease.

22. Plaintiff ALEXANDRA DEJESUS resides at 755 22nd Ave., Vero Beach, FL

32962. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at a military base or installation where PFAS was used

(hereinafter the "Sites") and was living on base during that time. While working on base, Claimant

was exposed to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated

levels of PFAS. Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS

contamination at the Sites, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and

proximate result of Plaintiff's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with Hypothyroidism.

23. Plaintiff JENNIFER DEL GIUDICE resides at 3711 W Sunbright Dr, Tuscon, AZ

85742. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at a military base or installation where PFAS was used

(hereinafter the "Sites") and was living on base during that time. While working on base, Claimant

was exposed to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated

levels of PFAS. Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS

contamination at the Sites, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and

ILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 03/12/2024 01:35 PM INDEX NO. 152229/2024

proximate result of Plaintiff's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with Breast Cancer, Graves Disease, High Cholesterol, Hypothyroidism, Leukemia, Lymphoma.

- 24. Plaintiff HARVEY DELGADO resides at 5653 Dixie HWY Lot 134, Saginaw, MI 48601. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at a military base or installation where PFAS was used (hereinafter the "Sites") and was living on base during that time. While working on base, Claimant was exposed to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS. Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS contamination at the Sites, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and proximate result of Plaintiff's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with Graves Disease, Hyperlipidemia, Hypothyroidism.
- 25. Plaintiff RAEDINE DELP resides at 1121 S Ziegler St, Airway Heights, WA 99001. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at a military base or installation where PFAS was used (hereinafter the "Sites") and was living on base during that time. While working on base, Claimant was exposed to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS. Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS contamination at the Sites, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and proximate result of Plaintiff's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with Hashimotos Disease, Hypothyroidism, Thyroid Problems.
- 26. Plaintiff JOHN DELUCA resides at 50 Mountainview Ln, Pipersville, PA 18947. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at a military base or installation where PFAS was used (hereinafter the "Sites") and was living on base during that time. While working on base, Claimant was exposed to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS. Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS contamination at the

TILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 03/12/2024 01:35 PM INDEX NO. 152229/2024

YSCEF DOC. NO. 2 Case 1:24-cv-05217-KPF Document 1-1 Filed 07/10/24 Page 11 of 72
RECEIVED NYSCEF: 03/12/202

Sites, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and proximate result of Plaintiff's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with Hypercholesteremia, Testicular Cancer.

27. Plaintiff JOSEPH DEMARK resides at 404 1/2 W Virginia Ave, Nutter Fort, WV

26301. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at a military base or installation where PFAS was used

(hereinafter the "Sites") and was living on base during that time. While working on base, Claimant

was exposed to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated

levels of PFAS. Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS

contamination at the Sites, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and

proximate result of Plaintiff's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with Hypothyroidism.

28. Plaintiff KEVIN DEMARQUE resides at 2146 Bear Creek Rd, Papillion, NE

68133. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at a military base or installation where PFAS was used

(hereinafter the "Sites") and was living on base during that time. While working on base, Claimant

was exposed to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated

levels of PFAS. Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS

contamination at the Sites, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and

proximate result of Plaintiff's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with Thyroid Problems,

Ulcerative Colitis.

29. Plaintiff MICHAEL DEMATTEO resides at 80 Mill st unit 103, Auburn, ME

04210-. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at a military base or installation where PFAS was used

(hereinafter the "Sites") and was living on base during that time. While working on base, Claimant

was exposed to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated

levels of PFAS. Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS

contamination at the Sites, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and

TILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 03/12/2024 01:35 PM INDEX NO. 152229/202

YSCEF DOC. NO. 2 Case 1:24-cv-05217-KPF Document 1-1 Filed 07/10/24 Page 12 of 72 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 03/12/202

proximate result of Plaintiff's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with Hashimotos Disease, Hypercholesteremia, Hyperthyroidism.

- 30. Plaintiff RUSSELL DEMEBINA resides at 3855 Mechanic Rd Lot #32, PO Box 403, Asheboro, NC 27204. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at a military base or installation where PFAS was used (hereinafter the "Sites") and was living on base during that time. While working on base, Claimant was exposed to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS. Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS contamination at the Sites, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and proximate result of Plaintiff's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with Hypothyroidism.
- 31. Plaintiff ROSEMERI DEMPSEY resides at 517 N Sargent Road, Spokan valley, WA 99212. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at a military base or installation where PFAS was used (hereinafter the "Sites") and was living on base during that time. While working on base, Claimant was exposed to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS. Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS contamination at the Sites, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and proximate result of Plaintiff's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with Hyperlipidemia, Hypothyroidism.
- 32. Plaintiff NICHOLAS DENARDO resides at 444 Berry Ridge Drive, Amhurst, OH 44001. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at a military base or installation where PFAS was used (hereinafter the "Sites") and was living on base during that time. While working on base, Claimant was exposed to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS. Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS

ILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 03/12/2024 01:35 PM INDEX NO. 152229/202

YSCEF DOC. NO. 2 Case 1:24-CV-05217-KPF Document 1-1 Filed 07/10/24 Page 13 of 72
RECEIVED NYSCEF: 03/12/202

contamination at the Sites, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and proximate result of Plaintiff's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with Hypothyroidism.

- 33. Plaintiff ELIZABETH DENNIS resides at 738 NC Highway 343 S, Camden, NC 27921. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at a military base or installation where PFAS was used (hereinafter the "Sites") and was living on base during that time. While working on base, Claimant was exposed to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS. Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS contamination at the Sites, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and proximate result of Plaintiff's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with Goiter, Hypothyroidism, Thyroid Nodules.
- 34. Plaintiff FREDERIC DEPARIS resides at 540 Howe St, Methuen, MA 01844-. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at a military base or installation where PFAS was used (hereinafter the "Sites") and was living on base during that time. While working on base, Claimant was exposed to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS. Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS contamination at the Sites, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and proximate result of Plaintiff's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with High Cholesterol, Hyperlipidemia, Hypothyroidism, Thyroid Cancer.
- 35. Plaintiff AMY DERDICH resides at 1212 Lake Rd, Liberty, MO 64068. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at a military base or installation where PFAS was used (hereinafter the "Sites") and was living on base during that time. While working on base, Claimant was exposed to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS. Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS contamination at the

ILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 03/12/2024 01:35 PM INDEX NO. 152229/2024

YSCEF DOC. NO. 2 Case 1:24-cv-05217-KPF Document 1-1 Filed 07/10/24 Page 14 of 72
RECEIVED NYSCEF: 03/12/202

Sites, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and proximate result of Plaintiff's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with Goiter, Graves Disease, Hypothyroidism.

36. Plaintiff ROBERT DERSHAM resides at 2848 East 183rd Street South, Warner, OK 74469. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at a military base or installation where PFAS was used (hereinafter the "Sites") and was living on base during that time. While working on base, Claimant was exposed to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS. Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS contamination at the Sites, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and proximate result of Plaintiff's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with High Cholesterol, Renal Cell Carcinoma.

- 37. Plaintiff RICHARD DESORDI resides at 6120 Ivor Ave, Norfolk, VA 23502-. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at a military base or installation where PFAS was used (hereinafter the "Sites") and was living on base during that time. While working on base, Claimant was exposed to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS. Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS contamination at the Sites, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and proximate result of Plaintiff's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with High Cholesterol, Hypothyroidism.
- 38. Plaintiff WILLIAM DEVOS resides at 525 Riverleigh Ave UNIT 21, Riverhead, NY 11901. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at a military base or installation where PFAS was used (hereinafter the "Sites") and was living on base during that time. While working on base, Claimant was exposed to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS. Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS contamination at the Sites, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and

TILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 03/12/2024 01:35 PM INDEX NO. 152229/20

YSCEF DOC. NO. 2 ase 1:24-cv-05217-KPF Document 1-1 Filed 07/10/24 Page 15 of 72
RECEIVED NYSCEF: 03/12/202

proximate result of Plaintiff's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with Hypothyroidism, Kidney Cancer.

39. Plaintiff JANET DEWITT resides at 5872 Arcadia Circle, Del Valle, TX 78617. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at a military base or installation where PFAS was used (hereinafter the "Sites") and was living on base during that time. While working on base, Claimant was exposed to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS. Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS contamination at the Sites, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and proximate result of

Plaintiff's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with Hypothyroidism.

- 40. Plaintiff MONIKA DIANOVSKY resides at 3415 Blake Rd Apt No. 7110, McHenry, IL 60050. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at a military base or installation where PFAS was used (hereinafter the "Sites") and was living on base during that time. While working on base, Claimant was exposed to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS. Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS contamination at the Sites, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and proximate result of Plaintiff's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with Hypothyroidism, Thyroid Nodules.
- 41. Plaintiff VANESSA DIAZ resides at 3193 Twelve Oaks Blvd, Clarksville, TN 37042. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at a military base or installation where PFAS was used (hereinafter the "Sites") and was living on base during that time. While working on base, Claimant was exposed to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS. Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS contamination at the Sites, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and

TILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 03/12/2024 01:35 PM INDEX NO. 152229/202

YSCEF DOC. NO. 2 Case 1:24-cv-05217-KPF Document 1-1 Filed 07/10/24 Page 16 of 72 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 03/12/202

proximate result of Plaintiff's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with Graves Disease, Hypothyroidism.

- 42. Plaintiff JAMES DIBARI resides at 103 Railroad Ave number 34, Goshen, NY 10924. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at a military base or installation where PFAS was used (hereinafter the "Sites") and was living on base during that time. While working on base, Claimant was exposed to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS. Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS contamination at the Sites, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and proximate result of Plaintiff's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with Hypothyroidism, Leukemia, Lymphoma.
- 43. Plaintiff KAREN DICKERSON resides at 40 Morning Glory Drive, Debary, FL 32713. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at a military base or installation where PFAS was used (hereinafter the "Sites") and was living on base during that time. While working on base, Claimant was exposed to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS. Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS contamination at the Sites, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and proximate result of Plaintiff's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with Hypothyroidism, Kidney Cancer.
- 44. Plaintiff PAMELA DICKINSON-MOSBY resides at 116 W Smoke Tree Ave, Ridgecrest, CA 93555. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at a military base or installation where PFAS was used (hereinafter the "Sites") and was living on base during that time. While working on base, Claimant was exposed to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS. Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result

INDEX NO. 152229/2024

of the PFAS contamination at the Sites, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and proximate result of Plaintiff's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with Hyperlipidemia, Hypothyroidism.

- 45. Plaintiff LLOYD W. DIKES resides at 16012 Cherry Drive, Biloxi, MS 39532. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at a military base or installation where PFAS was used (hereinafter the "Sites") and was living on base during that time. While working on base, Claimant was exposed to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS. Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS contamination at the Sites, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and proximate result of Plaintiff's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with High Cholesterol, Kidney Cancer, Renal Cell Carcinoma.
- 46. Plaintiff KURT DILLARD resides at 5319 Rockwood Cir, Stockton, CA 95219. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at a military base or installation where PFAS was used (hereinafter the "Sites") and was living on base during that time. While working on base, Claimant was exposed to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS. Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS contamination at the Sites, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and proximate result of Plaintiff's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with Hyperlipidemia, Renal Cell Carcinoma.
- 47. Plaintiff OLIVER DILLARD JR resides at 712 West 15th St, Sterling, IL 61081. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at a military base or installation where PFAS was used (hereinafter the "Sites") and was living on base during that time. While working on base, Claimant was exposed to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS. Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS contamination at the

YSCEF DOC. NO. Case 1:24-cv-05217-KPF Document 1-1 Filed 07/10/24 Page 18 of 72
RECEIVED NYSCEF: 03

Sites, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and proximate result of

Plaintiff's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with Hashimotos Disease, High Cholesterol,

Kidney Cancer, Renal Cell Carcinoma, Thyroid Abnormality.

48. Plaintiff BRITTNEY DILLMAN resides at 1411 E WITHERSPOON DR,

INDEX NO. 152229/2024

ELIZABETH, CO 80107. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at a military base or installation where

PFAS was used (hereinafter the "Sites") and was living on base during that time. While working

on base, Claimant was exposed to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water

containing elevated levels of PFAS. Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result

of the PFAS contamination at the Sites, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As

a direct and proximate result of Plaintiff's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with Thyroid

Cancer.

49. Plaintiff ANNA DINKINS resides at 1155 Louisa St., Williamsport, PA 17701.

Plaintiff was formerly stationed at a military base or installation where PFAS was used (hereinafter

the "Sites") and was living on base during that time. While working on base, Claimant was exposed

to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS.

Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS contamination at the

Sites, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and proximate result of

Plaintiff's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with Hyperlipidemia, Hypothyroidism.

50. Plaintiff DOMINICK DIPIETRO resides at 1607 Merribrook Rd., Philadelphia, PA

19151. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at a military base or installation where PFAS was used

(hereinafter the "Sites") and was living on base during that time. While working on base, Claimant

was exposed to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated

levels of PFAS. Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS

ILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 03/12/2024 01:35 PM INDEX NO. 152229

YSCEF DOC. NO. 2 Case 1:24-cv-05217-KPF Document 1-1 Filed 07/10/24 Page 19 of 72 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 03/12/202

contamination at the Sites, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and proximate result of Plaintiff's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with Hypercholesteremia, Ulcerative Colitis.

- 51. Plaintiff STACY DOBRY-RIORDAN resides at 1533 Madison St, Klamath Falls, OR 97603. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at a military base or installation where PFAS was used (hereinafter the "Sites") and was living on base during that time. While working on base, Claimant was exposed to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS. Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS contamination at the Sites, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and proximate result of Plaintiff's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with Hashimotos Disease, Hypercholesteremia, Hypothyroidism.
- 52. Plaintiff LYDIA DODGE resides at 3912 Arrowood Drive, Las Vegas, NV 89147. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at a military base or installation where PFAS was used (hereinafter the "Sites") and was living on base during that time. While working on base, Claimant was exposed to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS. Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS contamination at the Sites, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and proximate result of Plaintiff's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with Breast Cancer, Hypercholesteremia, Thyroid Cancer, Ulcerative Colitis.
- 53. Plaintiff MONTY DODGE resides at 1686 County Rd 2365, Moberly, MO 65270. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at a military base or installation where PFAS was used (hereinafter the "Sites") and was living on base during that time. While working on base, Claimant was exposed to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS.

Page 20 of 72

INDEX NO. 152229/2024

Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS contamination at the Sites, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and proximate result of Plaintiff's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with High Cholesterol, Hypothyroidism, Leukemia, Non-Hodgkins Lymphoma.

- 54. Plaintiff JEFFREY DODSON resides at 1095 E. La Quinta Dr., Fresno, CA 93720-. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at a military base or installation where PFAS was used (hereinafter the "Sites") and was living on base during that time. While working on base, Claimant was exposed to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS. Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS contamination at the Sites, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and proximate result of Plaintiff's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with High Cholesterol, Testicular Cancer, Thyroid Disease.
- 55. Plaintiff EUGENE MELVIN DOELL resides at 640 Ridgeview Cir. Apt 126, Appleton, WI 54911. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at a military base or installation where PFAS was used (hereinafter the "Sites") and was living on base during that time. While working on base, Claimant was exposed to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS. Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS contamination at the Sites, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and proximate result of Plaintiff's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with Hyperlipidemia, Hypothyroidism, Non-Hodgkins Lymphoma.
- 56. Plaintiff FRANK DOLBERRY resides at 2202 Vista Circle, Virginia Beach, VA 23451. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at a military base or installation where PFAS was used (hereinafter the "Sites") and was living on base during that time. While working on base, Claimant

INDEX NO. 152229/2024
Page 21 of 72

was exposed to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS. Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS contamination at the Sites, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and proximate result of Plaintiff's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with Hypercholesteremia, Renal Cell Carcinoma.

- Plaintiff JOHN DOMINGUEZ resides at 10773 FM 2585, Vernon, TX 76384-. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at a military base or installation where PFAS was used (hereinafter the "Sites") and was living on base during that time. While working on base, Claimant was exposed to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS. Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS contamination at the Sites, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and proximate result of Plaintiff's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with Bladder Cancer, Kidney Cancer, Mass Kidney, Transitional Cell Cancer.
- 58. Plaintiff SAMANTHA DOMIO resides at 18326 Brandi Cove, Gulfport, MS 39503. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at a military base or installation where PFAS was used (hereinafter the "Sites") and was living on base during that time. While working on base, Claimant was exposed to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS. Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS contamination at the Sites, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and proximate result of Plaintiff's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with Hyperlipidemia, Hypothyroidism.
- 59. Plaintiff SUSAN DOMM resides at 207 Woodfield Pkwy, Magnolia, DE 19962. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at a military base or installation where PFAS was used (hereinafter the "Sites") and was living on base during that time. While working on base, Claimant was exposed

SCEF DOC. NO. 2 Case 1:24-cv-05217-KPF Document 1-1 Filed 07/10/24 Page 22 of 72 RECEIVED MYSCEF: 03/12/20.

INDEX NO. 152229/2024

to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS.

Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS contamination at the

Sites, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and proximate result of

Plaintiff's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with Thyroid Cancer.

60. Plaintiff EDWIN DONAHUE resides at 3 DELAWARE AVE, APT 108,

ENDICOTT, NY 13760. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at a military base or installation where

PFAS was used (hereinafter the "Sites") and was living on base during that time. While working

on base, Claimant was exposed to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water

containing elevated levels of PFAS. Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result

of the PFAS contamination at the Sites, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As

a direct and proximate result of Plaintiff's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with High

Cholesterol, Hypothyroidism.

61. Plaintiff CLYDE DONLEY resides at 43528 Shelbi Lane, Sorrento, LA 70778.

Plaintiff was formerly stationed at a military base or installation where PFAS was used (hereinafter

the "Sites") and was living on base during that time. While working on base, Claimant was exposed

to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS.

Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS contamination at the

Sites, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and proximate result of

Plaintiff's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with Prostate Cancer, Renal Cell Carcinoma,

Ulcerative Colitis.

62. Plaintiff MICHAEL DORTCH resides at 402 Wynstone Court, Colmar, PA 18915.

Plaintiff was formerly stationed at a military base or installation where PFAS was used (hereinafter

the "Sites") and was living on base during that time. While working on base, Claimant was exposed

INDEX NO. 152229/2024
Page 23 of 72
VSCEF: 03/12/2024

to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS. Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS contamination at the Sites, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and proximate result of Plaintiff's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with Hyperthyroidism.

- Plaintiff JAMES DOTY resides at 780 High Rock Rd, HAYDEN, AL 35079. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at a military base or installation where PFAS was used (hereinafter the "Sites") and was living on base during that time. While working on base, Claimant was exposed to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS. Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS contamination at the Sites, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and proximate result of Plaintiff's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with Hyperlipidemia, Hypertension, Hypothyroidism, Liver Problems, Ulcerative Colitis.
- 64. Plaintiff VICTOR DOUGHERTY resides at PO Box 412, Brownsville, CA 95919. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at a military base or installation where PFAS was used (hereinafter the "Sites") and was living on base during that time. While working on base, Claimant was exposed to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS. Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS contamination at the Sites, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and proximate result of Plaintiff's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with Hypercholesteremia, Renal Cell Carcinoma.
- 65. Plaintiff ALFRED DOUGLAS resides at 741 McClary Road, Grayson, LA 71435. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at a military base or installation where PFAS was used (hereinafter the "Sites") and was living on base during that time. While working on base, Claimant was exposed to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS.

Page 24 of 72 RECEIVED NYSCEE: 03/12/2024

INDEX NO. 152229/2024

Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS contamination at the Sites, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and proximate result of Plaintiff's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with High Cholesterol, Kidney Cancer, Mass - Kidney, Thyroid Disease.

- 66. Plaintiff THERESA DOUGLAS resides at 1509 Alexander Circle, Summerville, SC 29486. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at a military base or installation where PFAS was used (hereinafter the "Sites") and was living on base during that time. While working on base, Claimant was exposed to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS. Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS contamination at the Sites, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and proximate result of Plaintiff's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with Goiter, Hashimotos Disease, Hyperlipidemia, Hyperthyroidism, Hypothyroidism, Thyroid Nodules.
- 67. Plaintiff DEBORAH DOUGLASS resides at 244 Lisbon St, Apt 15S, Lisbon, ME 04250-. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at a military base or installation where PFAS was used (hereinafter the "Sites") and was living on base during that time. While working on base, Claimant was exposed to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS. Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS contamination at the Sites, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and proximate result of Plaintiff's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with Breast Cancer, Hypothyroidism, Ulcerative Colitis.
- 68. Plaintiff JOHN DOYLE resides at 296 Morris Street, Phillipsburg, NJ 08865-. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at a military base or installation where PFAS was used (hereinafter the "Sites") and was living on base during that time. While working on base, Claimant was exposed

INDEX NO. 152229/2024

to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS.

Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS contamination at the

Sites, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and proximate result of

Plaintiff's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with Hyperlipidemia, Renal Cell Carcinoma.

69. Plaintiff LARRY DOYLE resides at 1311 S Business Highway 54, 492 Sabre

Circle, Fulton, MO 65251. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at a military base or installation where

PFAS was used (hereinafter the "Sites") and was living on base during that time. While working

on base, Claimant was exposed to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water

containing elevated levels of PFAS. Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result

of the PFAS contamination at the Sites, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As

a direct and proximate result of Plaintiff's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with

Hypothyroidism.

70. Plaintiff JOSEPH DOZIER resides at 604 Washington St, Venice, IL 62090.

Plaintiff was formerly stationed at a military base or installation where PFAS was used (hereinafter

the "Sites") and was living on base during that time. While working on base, Claimant was exposed

to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS.

Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS contamination at the

Sites, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and proximate result of

Plaintiff's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with High Cholesterol, Kidney Cancer, Mass -

Kidney.

71. Plaintiff PAULA DOZITSKY resides at 4029 Moorhead Drive, Corpus Christie,

TX 78410. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at a military base or installation where PFAS was used

(hereinafter the "Sites") and was living on base during that time. While working on base, Claimant

Page 26 of 72

was exposed to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS. Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS contamination at the Sites, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and proximate result of Plaintiff's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with Hyperthyroidism, Thyroid Disorder.

- 72. Plaintiff RANDALL DRABCZUK resides at 506 Vulpes Sanctuary Loop, Crestview, FL 32536. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at a military base or installation where PFAS was used (hereinafter the "Sites") and was living on base during that time. While working on base, Claimant was exposed to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS. Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS contamination at the Sites, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and proximate result of Plaintiff's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with Bladder Cancer, Hypercholesteremia, Hypothyroidism.
- 73. Plaintiff JOHN DRAWBOND resides at 2829 Skyview Trail, Martinsville, VA 24112. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at a military base or installation where PFAS was used (hereinafter the "Sites") and was living on base during that time. While working on base, Claimant was exposed to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS. Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS contamination at the Sites, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and proximate result of Plaintiff's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with Kidney Cancer.
- 74. Plaintiff DUANE DRYE resides at 886 Bluegrass Rd, Apt B8, Franklin, KY 43123. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at a military base or installation where PFAS was used (hereinafter the "Sites") and was living on base during that time. While working on base, Claimant was exposed

Page 27 of 72

INDEX NO. 152229/2024

to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS. Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS contamination at the Sites, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and proximate result of Plaintiff's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with Graves Disease, Hyperthyroidism.

- 75. Plaintiff MARVIN DUBOIS resides at 1849 Coopers Pond Rd, Auburn, AL 36830. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at a military base or installation where PFAS was used (hereinafter the "Sites") and was living on base during that time. While working on base, Claimant was exposed to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS. Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS contamination at the Sites, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and proximate result of Plaintiff's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with Hypercholesteremia, Hypothyroidism.
- 76. Plaintiff COURTNEY DUET resides at 5487 Jericho Hill rd, Alfred Station, NY 14803-. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at a military base or installation where PFAS was used (hereinafter the "Sites") and was living on base during that time. While working on base, Claimant was exposed to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS. Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS contamination at the Sites, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and proximate result of Plaintiff's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with Hyperthyroidism, Thyroid Disease.
- 77. Plaintiff PATRICK DUFFEY resides at 45230 Keding 303, Utica, MI 48317. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at a military base or installation where PFAS was used (hereinafter the "Sites") and was living on base during that time. While working on base, Claimant was exposed to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS.

Page 28 of 72

INDEX NO. 152229/2024

Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS contamination at the Sites, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and proximate result of

Plaintiff's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with Hypercholesteremia, Hypothyroidism.

78. Plaintiff PATTY DUFFEY resides at 1241 Chalet Ave, New Carlisle, OH 45344.

Plaintiff was formerly stationed at a military base or installation where PFAS was used (hereinafter

the "Sites") and was living on base during that time. While working on base, Claimant was exposed

to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS.

Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS contamination at the

Sites, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and proximate result of

Plaintiff's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with Breast Cancer, Hyperlipidemia,

Hypothyroidism.

79. Plaintiff JACQUELINE DUGAN resides at 9351 E. 28th St. #218, Yuma, AZ

85365. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at a military base or installation where PFAS was used

(hereinafter the "Sites") and was living on base during that time. While working on base, Claimant

was exposed to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated

levels of PFAS. Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS

contamination at the Sites, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and

proximate result of Plaintiff's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with Breast Cancer,

Hashimotos Disease, Hypothyroidism.

80. Plaintiff DARIN DULL resides at 407 Summercourt Drive, Summerville, SC

29485. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at a military base or installation where PFAS was used

(hereinafter the "Sites") and was living on base during that time. While working on base, Claimant

was exposed to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated

Page 29 of 72

levels of PFAS. Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS contamination at the Sites, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and proximate result of Plaintiff's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with Liver Cancer, Mass - Liver, Multiple Myeloma, Pancreatic Cancer, Thyroid Disease, Ulcerative Colitis.

- 81. Plaintiff KIMBERLEY DUNCAN resides at 4200 East Huron Rd, Augres, MI 48703. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at a military base or installation where PFAS was used (hereinafter the "Sites") and was living on base during that time. While working on base, Claimant was exposed to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS. Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS contamination at the Sites, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and proximate result of Plaintiff's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with High Cholesterol, Hypercholesteremia, Hypothyroidism.
- Plaintiff ALBERT DUNFORD resides at 449 Lick Skillet Rd, Hamilton, GA 31811. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at a military base or installation where PFAS was used (hereinafter the "Sites") and was living on base during that time. While working on base, Claimant was exposed to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS. Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS contamination at the Sites, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and proximate result of Plaintiff's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with Hypothyroidism.
- 83. Plaintiff WINFIELD DUNN resides at 401 E Gracia, Marceline, MO 64658. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at a military base or installation where PFAS was used (hereinafter the "Sites") and was living on base during that time. While working on base, Claimant was exposed to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS.

TILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 03/12/2024 01:35 PM INDEX NO. 152229/2024

Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS contamination at the Sites, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and proximate result of

Plaintiff's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with Hyperlipidemia, Renal Cell Carcinoma.

84. Plaintiff LUCIE DUNNE resides at 38 Dawn Dr, West Chazy, NY 12992. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at a military base or installation where PFAS was used (hereinafter the

"Sites") and was living on base during that time. While working on base, Claimant was exposed

to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS.

Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS contamination at the

Sites, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and proximate result of

Plaintiff's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with Hypercholesteremia, Hypothyroidism.

85. Plaintiff ANDRE DUPONT resides at 669 5th Ave, Berlin, NH 03570-. Plaintiff

was formerly stationed at a military base or installation where PFAS was used (hereinafter the

"Sites") and was living on base during that time. While working on base, Claimant was exposed

to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS.

Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS contamination at the

Sites, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and proximate result of

Plaintiff's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with Hyperlipidemia, Kidney Cancer, Mass -

Kidney, Renal Cell Carcinoma.

86. Plaintiff JOHN DUPREE resides at 1792 Enoree Ln, Charleston, SC 29412.

Plaintiff was formerly stationed at a military base or installation where PFAS was used (hereinafter

the "Sites") and was living on base during that time. While working on base, Claimant was exposed

to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS.

Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS contamination at the

SCEF DOC. NO. 2 Case 1:24-cv-05217-KPF Document 1-1 Filed 07/10/24 Page 31 of 72 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 03/12/2

Sites, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and proximate result of Plaintiff's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with High Cholesterol, Thyroid Disease, Thyroid Problems.

- 87. Plaintiff PINKNEY DURHAM resides at 9734 Ventura Ct, Algonac, MI 48001. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at a military base or installation where PFAS was used (hereinafter the "Sites") and was living on base during that time. While working on base, Claimant was exposed to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS. Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS contamination at the Sites, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and proximate result of Plaintiff's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with Hypothyroidism, Testicular Cancer.
- 88. Plaintiff PATRICIA DYCHES resides at 12310 Windswept Ave, Riverview, FL 33569. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at a military base or installation where PFAS was used (hereinafter the "Sites") and was living on base during that time. While working on base, Claimant was exposed to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS. Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS contamination at the Sites, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and proximate result of Plaintiff's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with Breast Cancer, Hypercholesteremia, Hyperthyroidism, Thyroid Nodules.
- 89. Plaintiff CHARLES DYKSTRA resides at 288 Fescue Drive Southeast, Kentwood, MI 49548. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at a military base or installation where PFAS was used (hereinafter the "Sites") and was living on base during that time. While working on base, Claimant was exposed to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS. Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS

contamination at the Sites, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and proximate result of Plaintiff's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with Hyperlipidemia, Thyroid Nodules, Thyroid Problems.

90. Plaintiff ANDRE DZIEGELEWSKI resides at 8 Pond Rd, Walden, NY 12586. Plaintiff was formerly stationed at a military base or installation where PFAS was used (hereinafter the "Sites") and was living on base during that time. While working on base, Claimant was exposed to PFAS through daily activity and regularly consumed water containing elevated levels of PFAS. Claimant has been exposed for many years to PFAS as a result of the PFAS contamination at the Sites, including at concentrations hazardous to their health. As a direct and proximate result of Plaintiff's exposure, Plaintiff has been diagnosed with Kidney Cancer.

В. **Defendants**

- 91. The term "Defendants" refers to all Defendants named herein jointly and severally.
 - i. The AFFF Defendants
- The term "AFFF Defendants" refers collectively to Defendants 3M Company, 92. Amerex Corporation, Buckeye Fire Equipment Company, Chemguard Inc., and Tyco Fire Products L.P.
- 93. Defendant The 3M Company f/k/a Minnesota Mining and Manufacturing Co. ("3M") is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business located at 3M Center, St. Paul, Minnesota 55144-1000.
- 94. Beginning before 1970 and until at least 2002, 3M designed, manufactured, marketed, distributed, and sold AFFF containing PFAS, including but not limited to PFOA and PFOS.

INDEX NO. 152229/2024

95. Defendant Amerex Corporation ("Amerex") is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Alabama, with its principal place of business located at 7595 Gadsden Highway, Trussville, AL 35173.

- 96. Amerex is a manufacturer of firefighting products. Beginning in 1971, it was a manufacturer of hand portable and wheeled extinguishers for commercial and industrial applications.
- 97. In 2011, Amerex acquired Solberg Scandinavian AS, one of the largest manufacturers of AFFF products in Europe.
- 98. On information and belief, beginning in 2011, Amerex designed, manufactured, marketed distributed, and sold AFFF containing PFAS, including but not limited to PFOA and PFOS.
- 99. Defendant Tyco Fire Products LP ("Tyco") is a limited partnership organized under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business located at One Stanton Street, Marinette, Wisconsin 54143-2542.
- 100. Tyco is the successor in interest of The Ansul Company ("Ansul"), having acquired Ansul in 1990.
- 101. Beginning in or around 1975, Ansul designed, manufactured, marketed, distributed, and sold AFFF containing PFAS, including but not limited to PFOA and PFOS.
- 102. After Tyco acquired Ansul in 1990, Tyco/Ansul continued to design, manufacture, market, distribute, and sell AFFF products containing PFAS, including but not limited to PFOA and PFOS.

INDEX NO. 152229/2024

103. Defendant Chemguard, Inc. ("Chemguard") is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Texas, with its principal place of business located at One Stanton Street,

On information and belief, Chemguard designed, manufactured, marketed, 104.

distributed, and sold AFFF products containing PFAS, including but not limited to PFOA and

PFOS.

Marinette, Wisconsin 54143.

On information and belief, Chemguard was acquired by Tyco International Ltd. in 105.

2011.

106. On information and belief, Tyco International Ltd. later merged into its subsidiary

Tyco International plc in 2014 to change its jurisdiction of incorporation from Switzerland to

Ireland.

Defendant Buckeye Fire Equipment Company ("Buckeye") is a corporation 107.

organized under the laws of the State of Ohio, with its principal place of business located at 110

Kings Road, Kings Mountain, North Carolina 28086.

108. On information and belief, Buckeye designed, manufactured, marketed, distributed,

and sold AFFF products containing PFAS, including but not limited to PFOA and PFOS.

109. On information and belief, the AFFF Defendants designed, manufactured,

marketed, distributed, and sold AFFF products containing PFOS, PFOA, and/or their chemical

precursors that were stored, handled, used, trained with, tested equipment with, otherwise

discharged, and/or disposed at the Sites.

The Fluorosurfactant Defendants ii.

The term "Fluorosurfactant Defendants" refers collectively to Defendants 3M, 110.

Arkema Inc., ChemDesign Products Incorporated, Chemguard Inc., Deepwater Chemicals, Inc.,

E.I. DuPont de Nemours and Company, The Chemours Company, The Chemours Company FC, LLC, DuPont de Nemours Inc., and Dynax Corporation.

- **Defendant Arkema Inc.** is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of 111. Pennsylvania, with its principal place of business at 900 First Avenue, King of Prussia, PA 19406.
 - 112. Arkema Inc. develops specialty chemicals and polymers.
 - 113. Arkema, Inc. is an operating subsidiary of Arkema France, S.A.
- On information and belief, Arkema Inc. designed, manufactured, marketed, 114. distributed, and sold fluorosurfactants containing PFOS, PFOA, and/or their chemical precursors for use in AFFF products.
- Defendant ChemDesign Products Inc. ("ChemDesign") is a corporation organized under the laws of Delaware, with its principal place of business located at 2 Stanton Street, Marinette, WI, 54143.
- 116. On information and belief, ChemDesign designed, manufactured, marketed, distributed, and sold fluorosurfactants containing PFOS, PFOA, and/or their chemical precursors for use in AFFF products
- **Defendant Deepwater Chemicals, Inc. ("Deepwater")** is a corporation organized under the laws of Delaware, with its principal place of business located at 196122 E County Road 40, Woodward, OK, 73801.
- 118. On information and belief, Deepwater Chemicals designed, manufactured, marketed, distributed, and sold fluorosurfactants containing PFOS, PFOA, and/or their chemical precursors for use in AFFF products

TILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 03/12/2024 01:35 PM INDEX NO. 152229/2024

YSCEF DOC. NO. 2 Case 1:24-cv-05217-KPF Document 1-1 Filed 07/10/24 Page 36 of 72 NYSCEF: 03/12/202

119. **Defendant Dynax Corporation ("Dynax")** is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business located at 103 Fairview Park Drive, Elmsford, New York 10523.

- 120. On information and belief, Dynax entered into the AFFF market on or about 1991 and quickly became a leading global producer of fluorosurfactants and fluorochemical stabilizers containing PFOS, PFOA, and/or their chemical precursors.
- 121. On information and belief, Dynax designed, manufactured, marketed, distributed, and sold fluorosurfactants and fluorochemical stabilizers containing PFOS, PFOA, and/or their chemical precursors for use in AFFF products.
- 122. **Defendant E.I. du Pont de Nemours & Company ("DuPont")** is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business located at 974 Centre Road, Wilmington, Delaware 19805.
- 123. **Defendant The Chemours Company ("Chemours Co.")** is a limited liability company organized under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business located at 1007 Market Street, P.O. Box 2047, Wilmington, Delaware, 19899.
- 124. In 2015, DuPont spun off its performance chemicals business to Chemours Co., along with vast environmental liabilities which Chemours Co. assumed, including those related to PFOS and PFOA and fluorosurfactants. On information and belief, Chemours Co. has supplied fluorosurfactants containing PFOS and PFOA, and/or their chemical precursors to manufacturers of AFFF products.
- 125. On information and belief, Chemours Co. was incorporated as a subsidiary of DuPont as of April 30, 2015. From that time until July 2015, Chemours Co. was a wholly-owned subsidiary of DuPont.

TILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 03/12/2024 01:35 PM INDEX NO. 152229/2024

DUC. NO. 2 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 03/12/20

126. In July 2015, DuPont spun off Chemours Co. and transferred to Chemours Co. its "performance chemicals" business line, which includes its fluoroproducts business, distributing shares of Chemours Co. stock to DuPont stockholders, and Chemours Co. has since been an independent, publicly-traded company.

- 127. **Defendant The Chemours Company FC, LLC ("Chemours FC")** is a limited liability company organized under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business located at 1007 Market Street, Wilmington, Delaware, 19899.
- 128. **Defendant Corteva, Inc. ("Corteva")** is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Delaware, with its principal place of business at 974 Centre Rd., Wilmington, Delaware 19805.
- 129. **Defendant Dupont de Nemours Inc.** f/k/a **DowDuPont, Inc.** ("Dupont de Nemours Inc.") is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Delaware, with its principal place of business at 974 Centre Road, Wilmington, Delaware 19805 and 2211 H.H. Dow Way, Midland, Michigan 48674.
- 130. On June 1, 2019, DowDuPont separated its agriculture business through the spin-off of Corteva.
- 131. Corteva was initially formed in February 2018. From that time until June 1, 2019, Corteva was a wholly-owned subsidiary of DowDuPont.
- 132. On June 1, 2019, DowDuPont distributed to DowDuPont stockholders all issued and outstanding shares of Corteva common stock by way of a pro-rata dividend. Following that distribution, Corteva became the direct parent of E. I. Du Pont de Nemours & Co.
- 133. Corteva holds certain DowDuPont assets and liabilities, including DowDuPont's agriculture and nutritional businesses.

On June 1, 2019, DowDuPont, the surviving entity after the spin-off of Corteva and 134. of another entity known as Dow, Inc., changed its name to DuPont de Nemours, Inc., to be known as DuPont ("New DuPont"). New DuPont retained assets in the specialty products business lines following the above-described spin-offs, as well as the balance of the financial assets and liabilities of E.I DuPont not assumed by Corteva.

135. Defendants E. I. Du Pont de Nemours and Company; The Chemours Company; The Chemours Company FC, LLC; Corteva, Inc.; and DuPont de Nemours, Inc. are collectively referred to as "DuPont" throughout this Complaint.

136. On information and belief, DuPont designed, manufactured, marketed, distributed, and sold fluorosurfactants containing PFOS, PFOA, and/or their chemical precursors for use in AFFF products.

On information and belief, 3M and Chemguard also designed, manufactured, marketed, distributed, and sold fluorosurfactants containing PFOS, PFOA, and/or their chemical precursors for use in AFFF products.

138. On information and belief, the Fluorosurfactant Defendants designed, manufactured, marketed, distributed, and sold fluorosurfactants containing PFOS, PFOA, and/or their chemical precursors for use in AFFF products that were stored, handled, used, trained with, tested equipment with, otherwise discharged, and/or disposed at the Sites.

iii. The PFC Defendants

The term "PFC Defendants" refers collectively to 3M, AGC, Inc., AGC 139. Chemicals Americas Inc., Archroma U.S. Inc., ChemDesign Products Inc., Chemicals, Inc., Clariant Corporation, Deepwater Chemicals, Inc., E. I. DuPont de Nemours and Company, The Chemours Company, The Chemours Company FC, LLC, Corteva, Inc., DuPont de Nemours Inc., and Nation Ford Chemical Company.

Defendant AGC, Inc. ("AGC"), f/k/a Asahi Glass Co., is a corporation organized 140. under the laws of Japan that does business throughout the United State and has its principal place of business at 1-5-1, Marunouchi, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 100-8405 Japan.

- 141. On information and belief, AGC was founded more than a hundred years ago and was the first Japanese producer of sheet glass.
- 142. On information and belief, AGC expanded its operations in the 1960s by developing a fluorochemical business segment that sold products such as the water and oil repellent agents AsahiGuard and fluoropolymer film F-CLEAN.
- 143. On information and belief, AGC designed, manufactured, marketed, distributed, and sold PFCs containing PFOS, PFOA, and/or their chemical precursors for use in manufacturing the fluorosurfactants used in AFFF products.
- **Defendant AGC Chemicals Americas, Inc. ("AGC")** is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Delaware, having its principal place of business at 55 East Uwchlan Avenue, Suite 201, Exton, PA 19341.
- 145. On information and belief, AGC Chemicals Americas, Inc. was formed in 2004 and is a subsidiary of AGC Inc., a foreign corporation organized under the laws of Japan, with its a principal place of business in Tokyo, Japan.
- AGC manufactures specialty chemicals. It offers glass, electronic displays, and 146. chemical products, including resins, water and oil repellants, greenhouse films, silica additives, and various fluorointermediates.
- On information and belief, AGC designed, manufactured, marketed, distributed, 147. and sold PFCs containing PFOS, PFOA, and/or their chemical precursors for use in manufacturing the fluorosurfactants used in AFFF products.

OC. NO. 2 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 03/12/2

148. **Defendant Archroma U.S., Inc. ("Archroma")** is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Delaware, with its a principal place of business at 5435 77 Center Drive, Charlotte, North Carolina 28217.

- 149. On information and belief, Archroma was formed in 2013 when Clariant Corporation divested its textile chemicals, paper specialties, and emulsions business to SK Capital Partners.
- 150. On information and belief, Archroma designed, manufactured, marketed, distributed, and sold PFCs containing PFOS, PFOA, and/or their chemical precursors for use in manufacturing the fluorosurfactants used in AFFF products.
- 151. **Defendant Chemicals, Inc.** ("Chemicals, Inc.") is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Texas, with its principal place of business located at 12321 Hatcherville, Baytown, TX 77520.
- 152. On information and belief, Chemicals, Inc. supplied PFCs containing PFOS, PFOA, and/or their chemical precursors for use in manufacturing the fluorosurfactants used in AFFF products.
- 153. **Defendant Clariant Corporation ("Clariant")** is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of New York, with its principal place of business at 4000 Monroe Road, Charlotte, North Carolina 28205.
- 154. On information and belief, Clariant is the successor in interest to the specialty chemicals business of Sandoz Chemical Corporation ("Sandoz"). On information and belief, Sandoz spun off its specialty chemicals business to form Clariant in 1995.
- 155. On information and belief, Clariant supplied PFCs containing PFOS, PFOA, and/or their chemical precursors for use in manufacturing the fluorosurfactants used in AFFF products.

156. Defendant Nation Ford Chemical Co. ("Nation Ford") is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of South Carolina, with its principal place of business located at 2300 Banks Street, Fort Mill, SC 29715.

On information and belief, Nation Ford supplied PFCs containing PFOS, PFOA, 157. and/or their chemical precursors for use in manufacturing the fluorosurfactants used in AFFF products.

158. On information and belief, 3M, ChemDesign, Deepwater Chemicals, and DuPont also supplied PFCs containing PFOS, PFOA, and/or their chemical precursors for use in manufacturing the fluorosurfactants used in AFFF products.

On information and belief, the Fluorochemical Defendants supplied PFCs containing PFOS, PFOA, and/or their chemical precursors for use in manufacturing the fluorosurfactants used in AFFF products that were stored, handled, used, trained with, tested equipment with, otherwise discharged, and/or disposed at the Sites.

Doe Defendants 1-20

Doe Defendants 1-20 are unidentified entities or persons whose names are presently 160. unknown and whose actions, activities, omissions (a) may have permitted, caused and/or contributed to the contamination of Plaintiff's water sources or supply wells; or (b) may be vicariously responsible for entities or persons who permitted, caused and/or contributed to the contamination of Plaintiff's water sources or supply wells; or (c) may be successors in interest to entities or persons who permitted, caused and/or permitted, contributed to the contamination of Plaintiff's water sources or supply wells. After reasonable search and investigation to ascertain the Doe Defendants actual names, the Doe Defendants' actual identities are unknown to Plaintiff as they are not linked with any of the Defendants on any public source.

TILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 03/12/2024 01:35 PM INDEX NO. 152229/2024

YSCEF DOC. NO. 2 Case 1:24-cv-05217-KPF Document 1-1 Filed 07/10/24 Page 42 of 72

***RECEIVED NYSCEF: 03/12/202

161. The Doe Defendants 1-20 either in their own capacity or through a party they are liable for: (1) designed, manufactured, marketed, distributed, and/or sold AFFF products

containing PFOS, PFOA, and/or their chemical precursors, and/or designed, manufactured,

marketed, distributed, and/or sold the fluorosurfactants and/or PFCs contained in

AFFF/Component Products; or (2) used, handled, transported, stored, discharged, disposed of,

designed, manufactured, marketed, distributed, and/or sold PFOS, PFOA, and/or their chemical

precursors, or other non-AFFF products containing PFOS, PFOA, and/or their chemical

precursors; or (3) failed to timely perform necessary and reasonable response and remedial

measures to releases of PFOS, PFOA, and/or their chemical precursors, or other non-AFFF

products containing PFOS, PFOA, and/or their chemical precursors in to the environment in which

Plaintiff's water supplies and well exist.

162. All Defendants, at all times material herein, acted by and through their respective

agents, servants, officers and employees, actual or ostensible, who then and there were acting

within the course and scope of their actual or apparent agency, authority or duties. Defendants are

liable based on such activities, directly and vicariously.

163. Defendants represent all or substantially all of the market for AFFF/Component

Products at the Sites.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS RELEVANT TO ALL CAUSES OF ACTION

A. PFOA and PFOS and Their Risk to Public Health

164. PFAS are chemical compounds containing fluorine and carbon. These substances

have been used for decades in the manufacture of, among other things, household and commercial

products that resist heat, stains, oil, and water. These substances are not naturally occurring and

must be manufactured.

165. The two most widely studied types of these substances are PFOA and PFOS.

ILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 03/12/2024 01:35 PM INDEX NO. 152229/

166. PFOA and PFOS have unique properties that cause them to be: (i) mobile and

persistent, meaning that they readily spread into the environment where they break down very

slowly; (ii) bioaccumulative and biomagnifying, meaning that they tend to accumulate in

organisms and up the food chain; and (iii) toxic, meaning that they pose serious health risks to

humans and animals.

167. PFOA and PFOS easily dissolve in water, and thus they are mobile and easily

spread in the environment. PFOA and PFOS also readily contaminate soils and leach from the soil

into groundwater, where they can travel significant distances.

168. PFOA and PFOS are characterized by the presence of multiple carbon-fluorine

bonds, which are exceptionally strong and stable. As a result, PFOA and PFOS are thermally,

chemically, and biologically stable. They resist degradation due to light, water, and biological

processes.

169. Bioaccumulation occurs when an organism absorbs a substance at a rate faster than

the rate at which the substance is lost by metabolism and excretion. Biomagnification occurs when

the concentration of a substance in the tissues of organisms increases as the substance travels up

the food chain.

170. PFOA and PFOS bioaccumulate/biomagnify in numerous ways. First, they are

relatively stable once ingested, so that they bioaccumulate in individual organisms for significant

periods of time. Because of this stability, any newly ingested PFOA and PFOS will be added to

any PFOA and PFOS already present. In humans, PFOA and PFOS remain in the body for years.

171. PFOA and PFOS biomagnify up the food chain. This occurs, for example, when

humans eat fish that have ingested PFOA and/or PFOS.

172. The chemical structure of PFOA and PFOS makes them resistant to breakdown or environmental degradation. As a result, they are persistent when released into the environment.

- Exposure to PFAS is toxic and poses serious health risks to humans and animals. 173.
- PFAS are readily absorbed after consumption or inhalation and accumulate 174. primarily in the bloodstream, kidney, and liver.

В. **Defendants' Manufacture and Sale of AFFF/Component Products**

- AFFF is a type of water-based foam that was first developed in the 1960s to 175. extinguish hydrocarbon fuel-based fires.
- AFFF is a Class-B firefighting foam. It is mixed with water and used to extinguish fires that are difficult to fight, particularly those that involve petroleum or other flammable liquids.
- AFFF is synthetically formed by combining fluorine-free hydrocarbon foaming 177. agents with fluorosurfactants. When mixed with water, the resulting solution produces an aqueous film that spreads across the surface of hydrocarbon fuel. This film provides fire extinguishment and is the source of the designation aqueous film-forming foam.
- 178. Beginning in the 1960s, the AFFF Defendants designed, manufactured, marketed, distributed, and/or sold AFFF products that used fluorosurfactants containing either PFOS, PFOA, or the chemical precursors that degrade into PFOS and PFOA.
- AFFF can be made without the fluorosurfactants that contain PFOA, PFOS, and/or their precursor chemicals. Fluorine-free firefighting foams, for instance, do not release PFOA, PFOS, and/or their precursor chemicals into the environment.
- AFFF that contains fluorosurfactants, however, is better at extinguishing 180. hydrocarbon fuel-based fires due to their surface-tension lowering properties, essentially smothering the fire and starving it of oxygen.

YSCEF DOC. NO. 2 Case 1:24-cv-05217-KPF Document 1-1 Filed 07/10/24 Page 45 of 72
RECEIVED NYSCEF: 03/12/2

181. The fluorosurfactants used in 3M's AFFF products were manufactured by 3M's patented process of electrochemical fluorination ("ECF").

182. The fluorosurfactants used in other AFFF products sold by the AFFF Defendants were manufactured by the Fluorosurfactant Defendants through the process of telomerization.

183. The PFCs the Fluorosurfactant Defendants needed to manufacture those fluorosurfactants contained PFOS, PFOA, and/or their chemical precursors and were designed, manufactured, marketed, distributed and/or sold by the PFC Defendants.

184. On information and belief, the PFC and Fluorosurfactant Defendants were aware that the PFCs and fluorosurfactants they designed, manufactured, marketed, distributed, and/or sold would be used in the AFFF products designed, manufactured, marketed, distributed, and/or sold by the AFFF Defendants.

185. On information and belief, the PFC and Fluorosurfactant Defendants designed, manufactured, marketed, distributed, and/or sold the PFC and/or fluorosurfactants contained in the AFFF products discharged into the environment at the Site during fire protection, training, and response activities, resulting in widespread PFAS contamination.

186. On information and belief, the AFFF Defendants designed, manufactured, marketed, distributed, and/or sold the AFFF products discharged into the environment at the Site during fire protection, training, and response activities, resulting in widespread PFAS contamination.

C. Defendants' Knowledge of the Threats to Public Health and the Environment Posed by PFOS and PFOA

187. On information and belief, by at least the 1970s 3M and DuPont knew or should have known that PFOA and PFOS are mobile and persistent, bioaccumulative and biomagnifying, and toxic.

TILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 03/12/2024 01:35 PM INDEX NO. 152229/2024

YSCEF DOC. NO. 2 Case 1:24-cv-05217-KPF Document 1-1 Filed 07/10/24 Page 46 of 72

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 03/12/202

188. On information and belief, 3M and DuPont concealed from the public and government agencies its knowledge of the threats to public health and the environment posed by PFOA and PFOS.

189. Some or all of the Defendants understood how stable the fluorinated surfactants used in AFFF are when released into the environment from their first sale to a customer, yet they failed to warn their customers or provide reasonable instruction on how to manage wastes generated from their products.

i. 1940s and 1950s: Early Warnings About the Persistence of AFFF

190. In 1947, 3M started its fluorochemical program, and within four years, it began selling its PFOA to DuPont. The persistence and contaminating nature of the fluorosurfactants contained in AFFF products were understood prior to their commercial application at 3M's Cottage Grove facility in Minnesota.

191. The inventor of 3M's ECF process was J.H. Simons. Simons' 1948 patent for the ECF process reported that PFCs are "non-corrosive, and of little chemical reactivity," and "do not react with any of the metals at ordinary temperatures and react only with the more chemically reactive metals such as sodium, at elevated temperatures."

192. Simons further reported that fluorosurfactants produced by the ECF process do not react with other compounds or reagents due to the blanket of fluorine atoms surrounding the carbon skeleton of the molecule. 3M understood that the stability of the carbon-to-fluorine bonds prevented its fluorosurfactants from undergoing further chemical reactions or degrading under natural processes in the environment.²

¹ Simons, J. H., Fluorination of Organic Compounds, U.S. Patent No. 2,447,717. August 24, 1948, *available at* https://www.ag.state.mn.us/Office/Cases/3M/docs/PTX/PTX1005.pdf.

² Simons, J. H., 1950. Fluorocarbons and Their Production. Fluorine Chemistry, 1(12): 401-422, available at

INDEX NO. 152229/2024

193. The thermal stability of 3M's fluorosurfactants was also understood prior to

commercial production. Simons' patent application further discloses that the fluorosurfactants

produced by the ECF process were thermally stable at temperatures up to 750° C (1382° F).

Additional research by 3M expanded the understanding of the thermal stability of perfluorocarbon

compounds.³

194. Nowhere in any Material Safety Data Sheet for any of Defendants'

AFFF/Component Products is information on the thermal stability of those products disclosed.

Failure to disclose knowledge of the stability of the PFCs and fluorosurfactants used in AFFF

products to customers is a failure to warn just how indestructible the AFFF's ingredients are when

released to unprotected water sources and even treatment plants.

1960s: AFFF's Environmental Hazards Come into Focus ii.

195. By at least the end of the 1960s, additional research and testing performed by 3M

and DuPont indicated that fluorosurfactants, including at least PFOA, because of their unique

chemical structure, were resistant to environmental degradation and would persist in the

environment essentially unaltered if allowed to enter the environment.

196. One 3M employee wrote in 1964: "This chemical stability also extends itself to all

types of biological processes; there are no known biological organisms that are able to attack the

carbon-fluorine bond in a fluorocarbon." Thus, 3M knew by the mid-1960s that its surfactants

were immune to chemical and biological degradation in soils and groundwater.

https://www.ag.state.mn.us/Office/Cases/3M/docs/PTX/PTX3008.pdf.

³ Bryce, T. J., 1950. Fluorocarbons - Their Properties and Wartime Development. Fluorine Chemistry, 1(13): 423-

462.

⁴ Bryce, H.G., Industrial and Utilitarian Aspects of Fluorine Chemistry (1964), available at

https://www.ag.state.mn.us/Office/Cases/3M/docs/PTX/PTX3022.pdf.

INDEX NO. 152229/2024

Page 48 of 72 NYSCEF: 03/12/2024

197. 3M also knew by 1964 that when dissolved, fluorocarbon carboxylic acids and fluorocarbon sulfonic acids dissociated to form highly stable perfluorocarboxylate and perfluorosulfonate ions. Later studies by 3M on the adsorption and mobility of FC-95 and FC-143 (the ammonium salt of PFOA) in soils indicated very high solubility and very high mobility in soils for both compounds.⁵

> iii. 1970s: Internal Studies Provide Evidence of Environmental and Health Risks

198. By 1950, 3M knew that the fluorosurfactants used in its AFFF product(s) would not degrade when released to the environment, but would remain intact and persist. Two decades later—and after the establishment of a robust market of AFFFs using fluorosurfactants—3M finally got around to looking at the environmental risks that fluorosurfactants posed.

199. An internal memo from 3M in 1971 states that "the thesis that there is 'no natural sink' for fluorocarbons obviously demands some attention." Hence, 3M understood at the very least that the fluorosurfactant used in its AFFF products would, in essence, never degrade once it was released into the environment.

By the mid-1970s, 3M and Ansul (and possibly other Defendants) had an intimate understanding of the persistent nature of PFCs. A 1976 study, for example, observed no biodegradation of FC-95, the potassium salt of PFOS; a result 3M characterized as "unsurprising" in light of the fact that "[b]iodegradation of FC 95 is improbable because it is completely fluorinated."⁷

⁵ Technical Report Summary re: Adsorption of FC 95 and FC143 on Soil, Feb. 27, 1978, available at https://www.ag.state.mn.us/Office/Cases/3M/docs/PTX/PTX1158.pdf.

⁶ Memorandum from H.G. Bryce to R.M. Adams re: Ecological Aspects of Fluorocarbons, Sept. 13, 1971, available at https://www.ag.state.mn.us/Office/Cases/3M/docs/PTX/PTX1088.pdf.

⁷ Technical Report Summary, August 12, 1976 [3MA01252037].

FILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 03/12/2024 01:35 PM

SCEF DOC. NO. 2 ase 1:24-cv-05217-KPF Document 1-1 Filed 07/10/24 Page 49 of 72

NYSCEF: 03/12/2024

201. In 1977, Ansul authored a report titled "Environmentally Improved AFFF," which acknowledged that releasing AFFF into the environment could pose potential negative impacts to groundwater quality. Ansul wrote: "The purpose of this work is to explore the development of experimental AFFF formulations that would exhibit reduced impact on the environment while retaining certain fire suppression characteristic . . . improvements [to AFFF formulations] are desired in the environmental area, i.e., development of compositions that have a reduced impact on the environment without loss of fire suppression effectiveness." Thus, Ansul knew by the mid-1970s that the environmental impact of AFFF needed to be reduced, yet there is no evidence that Ansul (or any other Defendant) ever pursued initiatives to do so.

202. A 1978 3M biodegradation study likewise reported that an "extensive study strongly suggest[ed]" one of its PFCs is "likely to persist in the environment for extended period unaltered by metabolic attack." A year later, a 3M study reported that one of its fluorosurfactants "was found to be completely resistant to biological test conditions," and that it appeared waterways were the fluorosurfactant's "environmental sink." ¹⁰

203. In 1979, 3M also completed a comprehensive biodegradation and toxicity study covering investigations between 1975 and 1978. More than a decade after 3M began selling AFFF containing fluorosurfactants it wrote: "there has been a general lack of knowledge relative

⁸ Ansul Co., Final Report: Environmentally Improved AFFF, N00173-76-C-0295, Marinette, WI, Dec. 13, 1977, *available at* https://apps.dtic.mil/dtic/tr/fulltext/u2/a050508.pdf.

⁹ Technical Report Summary re: Fate of Fluorochemicals in the Environment, Biodegradation Studies of Fluorocarbons - II, Jan. 1, 1978, *available at* https://www.ag.state.mn.us/Office/Cases/3M/docs/PTX/PTX1153.pdf.

¹⁰ Technical Report Summary re: Fate of Fluorochemicals in the Environment, Biodegradation Studies of Fluorocarbons - III, July 19, 1978, *available at* https://www.ag.state.mn.us/Office/Cases/3M/docs/PTX/PTX1179.pdf.

¹¹ Technical Report Summary, Final Comprehensive Report on FM 3422, Feb. 2, 1979, *available at* https://www.ag.state.mn.us/Office/Cases/3M/docs/PTX/PTX2563.pdf.

INDEX NO. 152229/2024
Page 50 of 72
NYSCEF: 03/12/2024

to the environmental impact of these chemicals." The report ominously asked, "If these materials are not biodegradable, what is their fate in the environment?"

- 204. During the 1970s, 3M also learned that the fluorosurfactants used in AFFF accumulated in the human body and were "even more toxic" than previously believed.
- 205. In 1975, 3M learns that PFAS was present in the blood of the general population.¹² Since PFOA and PFOS are not naturally occurring, this finding should have alerted 3M to the possibility that their products were a source of this PFOS. The finding also should have alerted 3M to the possibility that PFOS might be mobile, persistent, bioaccumulative, and biomagnifying, as those characteristics could explain how PFOS from 3M's products ended up in human blood.
- 206. In 1976, 3M found PFAS in the blood of its workers at levels "up to 1000 times 'normal' amounts of organically bound fluorine in their blood."¹³ This finding should have alerted 3M to the same issues raised by the prior year's findings.
- 207. Studies by 3M in 1978 showed that PFOA reduced the survival rate of fathead minnow fish eggs, ¹⁴ that PFOS was toxic to monkeys, ¹⁵ and that PFOS and PFOA were toxic to rats. ¹⁶ In the study involving monkeys and PFOS, all of the monkeys died within days of ingesting food contaminated with PFOS.

¹² Memorandum from G.H. Crawford to L.C. Krogh et al. re: Fluorocarbons in Human Blood Plasma, Aug. 20, 1975, *available at* https://www.ag.state.mn.us/Office/Cases/3M/docs/PTX/PTX1118.pdf.

¹³ 3M Chronology – Fluorochemicals in Blood, Aug. 26, 1977, *available at* https://www.ag.state.mn.us/Office/Cases/3M/docs/PTX/PTX1144.pdf.

¹⁴ The Effects of Continuous Aqueous Exposure to 78.03 on Hatchability of Eggs and Growth and Survival of Fry of Fathead Minnow, June 1978, *available at* https://www.ag.state.mn.us/Office/Cases/3M/docs/PTX/PTX1176.pdf.

¹⁵ Ninety-Day Subacute Rhesus Monkey Toxicity Study, Dec. 18, 1978, *available at* https://www.ag.state.mn.us/Office/Cases/3M/docs/PTX/PTX1191.pdf; Aborted FC95 Monkey Study, Jan. 2, 1979, *available at* https://www.ag.state.mn.us/Office/Cases/3M/docs/PTX/PTX1193.pdf.

¹⁶ Acute Oral Toxicity (LD₅₀) Study in Rats (FC-143), May 5, 1978, *available at* https://www.ag.state.mn.us/Office/Cases/3M/docs/PTX/PTX1170.pdf; FC-95, FC-143 and FM-3422 – 90 Day Subacute Toxicity Studies Conducted at IRDC – Review of Final Reports and Summary, Mar. 20, 1979, *available at* https://www.ag.state.mn.us/Office/Cases/3M/docs/PTX/PTX1199.pdf.

INDEX NO. 152229/2024

4 Page 51 of 72 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 03/12/2024

208. In 1979, 3M and DuPont discussed 3M's discovery of PFOA in the blood of its workers and came to the same conclusion that there was "no reason" to notify the EPA of the finding.¹⁷

iv. 1980s and 1990s: Evidence of AFFF's Health Risks Continues to Mount

209. By at least the end of the 1980s, additional research and testing performed by Defendants, including at least 3M and DuPont, indicated that elevated incidence of certain cancers and other adverse health effects, including elevated liver enzymes and birth defects, had been observed among workers exposed to such materials, including at least PFOA, but such data was not published, provided to governmental entities as required by law, or otherwise publicly disclosed at the time.

210. In 1981, DuPont tested for and found PFOA in the blood of female plant workers Parkersburg, West Virginia. DuPont observed and documented pregnancy outcomes in exposed workers, finding two of seven children born to female plant workers between 1979 and 1981 had birth defects—one an "unconfirmed" eye and tear duct defect, and one a nostril and eye defect. ¹⁸

211. In 1983, 3M researchers concluded that concerns about PFAS "give rise to concern for environmental safety," including "legitimate questions about the persistence, accumulation potential, and ecotoxicity of fluorochemicals in the environment." That same year, 3M completed a study finding that PFOS caused the growth of cancerous tumors in rats.²⁰ This finding was later

¹⁷ Memorandum from R.A. Prokop to J.D. Lazerte re: Disclosure of Information on Levels of Fluorochemicals in Blood, July 26, 1979, *available at* https://www.ag.state.mn.us/Office/Cases/3M/docs/PTX/PTX2723.pdf.

¹⁸ C-8 Blood Sampling Results, available at http://tiny.cc/v8z1mz.

¹⁹ 3M Environmental Laboratory (EE & PC), Fate of Fluorochemicals - Phase II, May 20, 1983, *available at* https://www.ag.state.mn.us/Office/Cases/3M/docs/PTX/PTX1284.pdf.

²⁰ Two Year Oral (Diet) Toxicity/Carcinogenicity Study of Fluorochemical FC-143 in Rats, Volume 1 of 4, Aug. 29, 1987, *available at* https://www.ag.state.mn.us/Office/Cases/3M/docs/PTX/PTX1337.pdf.

INDEX NO. 152229/2024
Page 52 of 72
NYSCEF: 03/12/2024

shared with DuPont and led them to consider whether "they may be obliged under their policy to call FC-143 a carcinogen in animals." ²¹

- 212. In 1984, 3M documented a trend of increasing levels of PFOS in the bodies of 3M workers, leading one of the company's medical officers to warn in an internal memo: "we must view this present trend with serious concern. It is certainly possible that . . . exposure opportunities are providing a potential uptake of fluorochemicals that exceeds excretion capabilities of the body."²²
- 213. A 1997 material safety data sheet ("MSDS") for a non-AFFF product made by 3M listed its only ingredients as water, PFOA, and other perfluoroalkyl substances and warned that the product includes "a chemical which can cause cancer." The MSDS cited "1983 and 1993 studies conducted jointly by 3M and DuPont" as support for this statement. On information and belief, the MSDS for 3M's AFFF products did not provide similar warnings or information.
 - v. <u>Defendants Hid What They Knew from the Government and the Public.</u>
- 214. Federal law requires chemical manufacturers and distributors to immediately notify the EPA if they have information that "reasonably supports the conclusion that such substance or mixture presents a substantial risk of injury to health or the environment." Toxic Substances Control Act ("TSCA") § 8(e), 15 U.S.C. § 2607(e)
- 215. In April 2006, 3M agreed to pay EPA a penalty of more than \$1.5 million after being cited for 244 violations of the TSCA, which included violations for failing to disclose studies regarding PFOS, PFOA, and other PFCs dating back decades.

²¹ Memorandum from R.G. Perkins to F.D. Griffith re: Summary of the Review of the FC-143 Two-Year Feeder Study Report to be presented at the January 7, 1988 meeting with DuPont, January 5, 1988, *available at* https://www.ag.state.mn.us/Office/Cases/3M/docs/PTX/PTX1343.pdf.

²² Memorandum from D.E. Roach to P.F. Riehle re: Organic Fluorine Levels, Aug. 31, 1984, *available at* https://www.ag.state.mn.us/Office/Cases/3M/docs/PTX/PTX1313.pdf.

FILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 03/12/2024 01:35 PM INDEX NO. 152229/2024

216. Likewise, in December 2005, the EPA announced it was imposing the "Largest Environmental Administrative Penalty in Agency History" against DuPont based on evidence that it violated the TSCA by concealing the environmental and health effects of PFOA.

217. On information and belief, Defendants knew or should have known that AFFF containing PFOA or PFOS would very likely injure and/or threaten public health and the environment, even when used as intended or directed.

218. Defendants failed to warn of these risks to the environment and public health, including the impact of their AFFF/Component Products on the quality of unprotected water sources.

219. Defendants were all sophisticated and knowledgeable in the art and science of designing, formulating, and manufacturing AFFF/Component Products. They understood far more about the properties of their AFFF/Component Products—including the potential hazards they posed to human health and the environment—than any of their customers. Still, Defendants declined to use their sophistication and knowledge to design safer products.

D. The Impact of PFOS and PFOA on the Environment and Human Health Is Finally Revealed

220. As discussed above, neither 3M, DuPont, nor, on information and belief, any other Defendant complied with their obligations to notify EPA about the "substantial risk of injury to health or the environment" posed by their AFFF/Component Products. *See* TSCA § 8(e).

221. Despite decades of research, 3M first shared its concerns with EPA in the late 1990s. In a May 1998 report submitted to EPA, "3M chose to report simply that PFOS had been found in the blood of animals, which is true but omits the most significant information," according to a former 3M employee.²³

²³ Letter from R. Purdy, Mar. 28, 1999, available at

INDEX NO. 152229/2024

222. On information and belief, 3M began in 2000 to phase out its production of products that contained PFOS and PFOA in response to pressure from the EPA.

223. Once the truth about PFOS and PFOA was revealed, researchers began to study the environmental and health effects associated with them, including a "C8 Science Panel" formed out of a class action settlement arising from contamination from DuPont's Washington Works located in Wood County, West Virginia.

224. The C8 panel consisted of three epidemiologists specifically tasked with determining whether there was a probable link between PFOA exposure and human diseases. In 2012, the panel found probable links between PFOA and kidney cancer, testicular cancer, ulcerative colitis, thyroid disease, pregnancy-induced hypertension (including preeclampsia), and hypercholesterolemia.

225. Human health effects associated with PFOS exposure include immune system effects, changes in liver enzymes and thyroid hormones, low birth weight, high uric acid, and high cholesterol. In laboratory testing on animals, PFOA and PFOS have caused the growth of tumors, changed hormone levels, and affected the function of the liver, thyroid, pancreas, and immune system.

- 226. The injuries caused by PFAS can arise months or years after exposure.
- 227. Even after the C8 Science Panel publicly announced that human exposure to 50 parts per trillion, or more, of PFOA in drinking water for one year or longer had "probable links" with certain human diseases, including kidney cancer, testicular cancer, ulcerative colitis, thyroid disease, preeclampsia, and medically-diagnosed high cholesterol, Defendants repeatedly assured and represented to governmental entities, their customers, and the public (and continue to do so)

https://www.ag.state.mn.us/Office/Cases/3M/docs/PTX/PTX1001.pdf.

INDEX NO. 152229/2024
Page 55 of 72
NYSCEF: 03/12/2024

that the presence of PFOA in human blood at the levels found within the United States presents no risk of harm and is of no legal, toxicological, or medical significance of any kind.

228. Furthermore, Defendants have represented to and assured such governmental entities, their customers, and the public (and continue to do so) that the work of the independent C8 Science Panel was inadequate to satisfy the standards of Defendants to prove such adverse effects upon and/or any risk to humans with respect to PFOA in human blood.

229. At all relevant times, Defendants, through their acts and/or omissions, controlled, minimized, trivialized, manipulated, and/or otherwise influenced the information that was published in peer-review journals, released by any governmental entity, and/or otherwise made available to the public relating to PFAS in human blood and any alleged adverse impacts and/or risks associated therewith, effectively preventing the public from discovering the existence and extent of any injuries/harm as alleged herein.

230. On May 2, 2012, the EPA published its Third Unregulated Contaminant Monitoring Rule ("UCMR3"), requiring public water systems nationwide to monitor for thirty contaminants of concern between 2013 and 2015, including PFOS and PFOA.²⁴

231. In the May 2015 "Madrid Statement on Poly- and Perfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS's)," scientists and other professionals from a variety of disciplines, concerned about the production and release into the environment of PFOA, called for greater regulation, restrictions, limits on the manufacture and handling of any PFOA containing product, and to develop safe non-fluorinated alternatives to these products to avoid long-term harm to human health and the environment.²⁵

²⁴ Revisions to the Unregulated Contaminant Monitoring Regulation (UCMR 3) for Public Water Systems, 77 Fed. Reg: 26072 (May 2, 2012).

²⁵ Blum A, Balan SA, Scheringer M, Trier X, Goldenman G, Cousins IT, Diamond M, Fletcher T, Higgins C, Lindeman AE, Peaslee G, de Voogt P, Wang Z, Weber R. 2015. The Madrid statement on poly- and perfluoroalkyl

INDEX NO. 152229/2024

232. On May 25, 2016, the EPA released a lifetime health advisory (HAs) and health effects support documents for PFOS and PFOA. See Fed. Register, Vol. 81, No. 101, May 25, 2016. The EPA developed the HAs to assist governmental officials in protecting public health when PFOS and PFOA are present in drinking water. The EPA HAs identified the concentration of PFOS and PFOA in drinking water at or below which adverse health effects are not anticipated to occur over a lifetime of exposure at 0.07 ppb or 70 ppt. The HAs were based on peer-reviewed studies of the effects of PFOS and PFOA on laboratory animals (rats and mice) and were also informed by epidemiological studies of human populations exposed to PFOS. These studies indicate that exposure to PFOS and PFOA over these levels may result in adverse health effects, including:

- a. Developmental effects to fetuses during pregnancy or to breastfed infants (e.g., low birth weight, accelerated puberty, skeletal variations);
- b. Cancer (testicular and kidney);
- c. Liver effects (tissue damage);
- d. Immune effects (e.g., antibody production and immunity);
- e. Thyroid disease and other effects (e.g., cholesterol changes).
- 233. In addition, PFOS and PFOA are hazardous materials because they pose a "present or potential threat to human health."²⁷

substances (PFASs). Environ Health Perspect 123:A107-A111; http://dx.doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1509934.

²⁶ See Fed. Register, Vol. 81, No. 101, May 25, 2016, Lifetime Health Advisories and Health Effects Support Documents for Perfluorooctanoic Acid and Perfluorooctane Sulfonate.

²⁷ *Id.*; see also National Ass'n for Surface Finishing v. EPA, 795 F.3d 1, 3, 6 (D.C. Cir. 2015) (referring to PFOS as a "toxic compound" and a "hazardous chemical.").

FILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 03/12/2024 01:35 PM

SCEF DOC. NO. 2 ase 1:24-cv-05217-KPF Document 1-1 Filed 07/10/24 Page 57 of 72

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 03/12/2024

234. In 2016, the National Toxicology Program of the United States Department of Health and Human Services ("NTP") and the International Agency for Research on Cancer ("IARC") both released extensive analyses of the expanding body of research regarding the adverse effects of PFCs. The NTP concluded that both PFOA and PFOS are "presumed to be an immune hazard to humans" based on a "consistent pattern of findings" of adverse immune effects in human (epidemiology) studies and "high confidence" that PFOA and PFOS exposure was associated with suppression of immune responses in animal (toxicology) studies.²⁸

235. IARC similarly concluded that there is "evidence" of "the carcinogenicity of . . . PFOA" in humans and in experimental animals, meaning that "[a] positive association has been observed between exposure to the agent and cancer for which a causal interpretation is . . . credible."²⁹

236. California has listed PFOA and PFOS to its Proposition 65 list as a chemical known to cause reproductive toxicity under the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986.³⁰

237. The United States Senate and House of Representatives passed the National Defense Authorization Act in November 2017, which included \$42 Million to remediate PFC contamination from military bases, as well as devoting \$7 Million toward the Investing in Testing Act, which authorizes the Center for Disease Control and Prevention ("CDC") to conduct a study

²⁹ See Int'l Agency for Research on Cancer, IARC Monographs: Some Chemicals Used as Solvents and in Polymer Manufacture (Dec. 2016), at 27, 97, available at http://monographs.iarc.fr/ENG/Monographs/vol110/mono110.pdf.

³⁰ California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, *Chemicals Listed Effective Nov. 10, 2017 as Known to the State of California to Cause Reproductive Toxicity: Perfluorooctanoic Acid (PFOA) and Perfluorooctane Sulfonate (PFOS)*, Nov. 9, 2017, *available at* https://oehha.ca.gov/proposition-65/crnr/chemicals-listed-effective-november-10-2017-known-state-california-cause.

INDEX NO. 152229/2024
Page 58 of 72
RECEIVED NYSCEF: 03/12/2024

into the long-term health effects of PFOA and PFOS exposure.³¹ The legislation also required that the Department of Defense submit a report on the status of developing a new military specification for AFFF that did not contain PFOS or PFOA.³²

238. In June 2018, the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry ("ATSDR") and EPA released a draft toxicological profile for PFOS and PFOA and recommended the drinking water advisory levels be lowered to 11 ppt for PFOA and 7 ppt for PFOS.³³

239. On February 20, 2020, the EPA announced a proposed decision to regulate PFOA and PFOS under the Safe Drinking Water Act, which the agency characterized as a "key milestone" in its efforts to "help communities address per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) nationwide." Following a public comment period on its proposed decision, the EPA will decide whether to move forward with the process of establishing a national primary drinking water regulation for PFOA and PFOS.

E. AFFF Containing PFOS and PFOA Is Fungible and Commingled in the Groundwater

240. AFFF containing PFOS and/or PFOA, once it has been released to the environment, lacks characteristics that would enable identification of the company that manufactured that particular batch of AFFF or chemical feedstock.

³¹ National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2018, H.R. 2810, 115th Congress (2017), *available at* https://www.congress.gov/115/plaws/publ91/PLAW-115publ91.pdf.

³² *Id.*; see also U.S. Department of Defense, *Alternatives to Aqueous Film Forming Foam Report to Congress*, June 2018, available at https://www.denix.osd.mil/derp/home/documents/alternatives-to-aqueous-film-forming-foam-report-to-congress/.

³³ ATSDR, *Toxicological Profile for Perfluoroalkyls: Draft for Public Comment* (June 2018), available at https://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/toxprofiles/tp200.pdf.

³⁴ Press Release, *EPA Announces Proposed Decision to Regulate PFOA and PFOS in Drinking Water*, Feb. 20, 2020, *available at* https://www.epa.gov/newsreleases/epa-announces-proposed-decision-regulate-pfoa-and-pfos-drinking-water.

Page 59 of 72

241. A subsurface plume, even if it comes from a single location, such as a retention pond or fire training area, originates from mixed batches of AFFF and chemical feedstock coming from different manufacturers.

242. Because precise identification of the specific manufacturer of any given AFFF/Component Product that was a source of the PFAS found at Reese Air Force Base, during fire protection, training, and response activities, resulting in widespread PFAS contamination is nearly impossible, given certain exceptions, Plaintiffs must pursue all Defendants, jointly and severally.

243. Defendants are also jointly and severally liable because they conspired to conceal the true toxic nature of PFOS and PFOA, to profit from the use of AFFF/Component Products containing PFOS and PFOA, at Plaintiffs' expense, and to attempt to avoid liability.

MARKET SHARE LIABILITY, ALTERNATIVE LIABILITY, CONCERT OF ACTION, AND ENTERPRISE LIABILITY

244. Defendants in this action are manufacturers that control a substantial share of the market for AFFF/Component Products containing PFOS, PFOA, and/or their chemical precursors in the United States and are jointly responsible for the contamination of the groundwater at the Site, affecting groundwater sources within the vicinity of the base. Market share liability attaches to all Defendants and the liability of each should be assigned according to its percentage of the market for AFFF/Component Products at issue in this Complaint.

245. Because PFAS is fungible, it is impossible to identify the exact Defendant who manufactured any given AFFF/Component Product containing PFOS, PFOA, and/or their chemical precursors found free in the air, soil or groundwater, and each of these Defendants participated in a territory-wide and U.S. national market for AFFF/Component Products during the relevant time.

TILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 03/12/2024 01:35 PM INDEX NO. 152229/2024

246. Concert of action liability attaches to all Defendants, each of which participated in a common plan to commit the torts alleged herein and each of which acted tortuously in pursuance of the common plan to knowingly manufacture and sell inherently dangerous AFFF/Component Products containing PFOS, PFOA, and/or their chemical precursors.

247. Enterprise liability attaches to all the named Defendants for casting defective products into the stream of commerce.

CONSPIRACY

- 248. Defendants actually knew of the health and environmental hazards which PFOA and PFOS posed to Plaintiffs.
- 249. Beginning in the 1970s and continuing through the date of this Complaint, Defendants formed joint task forces, committees and otherwise colluded for the avowed purpose of providing information about AFFF/Component Products containing PFOA and/or PFOS to the public and to government agencies with the unlawful purpose of:
 - a. Creating a market for AFFF/Component Products containing PFOA and/or PFOS despite knowledge of the hazards which PFOA and PFOS posed to the groundwater in Colorado and the residents who depend on such water;
 - b. Concealing the environmental properties and toxic nature of PFOA and PFOS,
 and its impact on Plaintiffs and the environment; and
 - c. Maximizing profits in a way Defendants knew or should have known would result in the contamination of Plaintiffs' drinking water.
- 250. Defendants carried out their conspiracy by one or more of the following overt acts or omissions:

Intentionally representing to the DOD, USAF, USEPA and the public that a. AFFF/Component Products containing PFOA and PFOS were safe and did not pose an environmental or human health risk;

- Concealing the dangers of PFOA and PFOS (including toxicological b. information on the dangers of the chemicals to living organisms, adverse fate and transport characteristics, and the propensity of PFOA and PFOS to contaminate groundwater) from the government and the public by, among other means, repeatedly requesting that information about the dangers and health effects of PFOA and PFOS be suppressed and not otherwise published, and by downplaying any adverse findings relating to PFOA and PFOS;
- c. Concealing the dangers of AFFF/Component Products containing PFOA and PFOS from end users, sensitive receptors, public water suppliers, and the users and consumers of groundwater;
- d. Using their considerable resources to fight PFOA and PFOS regulation; and
- Collectively deciding to use PFOA and/or PFOS rather than other, safer e. surfactants because AFFF/Component Products containing PFOA and/or PFOS were the most profitable surfactant for Defendants to use.
- 251. As a direct and proximate result of the Defendants' above-described conspiracy, PFOA and PFOS, at all times relevant to this litigation has:
 - Posed and continues to pose a health threat to Plaintiffs because it has a. bioaccumulated in their bodies;
 - Contaminated Plaintiffs' property, soil, and groundwater, for those with private b. water wells;

Created the need for remediation of PFOA- and PFOS- contaminated c. groundwater for those property owners who utilize private water wells, or, where remediation of the groundwater is impractical, installation of a system to filter out PFOA and PFOS or procurement of water from alternative sources;

CAUSES OF ACTION

COUNT 1: **DEFECTIVE DESIGN**

- 252. Plaintiffs adopt, reallege, and incorporate the allegations in the preceding paragraphs and further alleges the following:
- 253. As manufacturers of AFFF/Component Products containing PFOS, PFOA, and/or their chemical precursors, Defendants owed a duty to all persons whom its products might foreseeably harm, including Plaintiffs, and not to market any product which is unreasonably dangerous in design for its reasonably anticipated used.
- 254. Defendants' AFFF/Component Products were unreasonably dangerous for its reasonably anticipated uses for the following reasons:
 - a. PFAS causes extensive groundwater contamination, even when used in its foreseeable and intended manner;
 - b. Even at extremely low levels, PFAS render drinking water unfit for consumption;
 - c. PFAS poses significant threats to public health; and
 - d. PFAS create real and potential environmental damage.
- 255. Defendants knew of these risks and failed to use reasonable care in the design of their AFFF/Component Products.

TILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 03/12/2024 01:35 PM INDEX NO. 152229/20

YSCEF DOC. NO. 2 Case 1:24-cv-05217-KPF Document 1-1 Filed 07/10/24 Page 63 of 72

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 03/12/202

256. AFFF containing PFOS, PFOA, and/or their chemical precursors poses a greater

danger to the environment and to human health than would be expected by ordinary persons such

as Plaintiffs.

257. At all times, Defendants were capable of making AFFF/Component Products that

did not contain PFOS, PFOA, and/or their chemical precursors. Thus, reasonable alternative

designs existed which were capable of preventing Plaintiffs' injuries.

258. The risks posed by AFFF containing PFOS, PFOA, and/or their chemical

precursors far outweigh the products' utility as a flame-control product.

259. The likelihood that Defendants' AFFF/Component Products would be spilled,

discharged, disposed of, or released into the environment and Plaintiffs' water well has been, and

continues to be, contaminated with PFAS in varying amounts over time, causing Plaintiffs

significant injuries and damages that far outweighed any burden on Defendants to adopt an

alternative design, and outweighed the adverse effect, if any, of such alternative design on the

utility of the product.

260. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' unreasonably dangerous design,

manufacture, and sale of AFFF/Component Products containing PFOS, PFOA, and/or their

chemical precursors, Plaintiffs' water supply has been, and continues to be, contaminated with

PFAS in varying amounts over time, causing Plaintiffs significant injuries and damages.

261. Defendants knew that it was substantially certain that their acts and omissions

described above would contaminate Plaintiffs' water supply with PFAS in varying amounts over

time, causing Plaintiffs significant injuries and damages. Contamination that led to the exposure of

Plaintiffs' to toxins and increased their risk of numerous diseases. Defendants committed each of the

INDEX NO. 152229/2024

Page 64, of 72

above-described acts and omissions knowingly, willfully, and/or with fraud, oppression, or malice, and with conscious and/or reckless disregard for Plaintiffs' health and safety, and/or property rights.

COUNT 2: FAILURE TO WARN

- 262. Plaintiffs adopt, reallege, and incorporate the allegations in the preceding paragraphs and further alleges the following:
- 263. As manufacturers of AFFF/Component Products containing PFOS, PFOA, and/or their chemical precursors, Defendants had a duty to provide adequate warnings of the risks of these products to all persons whom its product might foreseeably harm, including Plaintiffs.
- 264. Defendants' AFFF/Component Products were unreasonably dangerous for its reasonably anticipated uses for the following reasons:
 - a. PFAS causes extensive groundwater contamination, even when used in its foreseeable and intended manner;
 - b. Even at extremely low levels, PFAS render drinking water unfit for consumption;
 - c. PFAS poses significant threats to public health; and
 - d. PFAS create real and potential environmental damage.
- 265. Defendants knew of the health and environmental risks associated with their AFFF/Component Products and failed to provide a warning that would lead an ordinary reasonable user or handler of a product to contemplate the dangers associated with their products or an instruction that would have avoided Plaintiffs' injuries.
- 266. Despite Defendants' knowledge of the environmental and human health hazards associated with the use and/or disposal of their AFFF/Component Products in the vicinity of drinking water supplies, including PFAS contamination of the drinking supplies, Defendants failed to issue

any warnings, instructions, recalls, or advice regarding their AFFF/Component Products to Plaintiff,

governmental agencies or the public.

As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' failure to warn, Plaintiffs' water 267.

supply has been, and continues to be, contaminated with PFAS in varying amounts over time,

causing Plaintiffs significant injuries and damages. Further, this contamination led to the exposure

of Plaintiffs to toxins and increased their probabilities of numerous diseases as more fully set forth

above.

268. Defendants knew that it was substantially certain that their acts and omissions

described above would contaminate Plaintiffs water supply with PFAS in varying amount, causing

Plaintiffs significant injuries and damages. Defendants committed each of the above-described

acts and omissions knowingly, willfully, and/or with fraud, oppression, or malice, and with

conscious and/or reckless disregard for Plaintiffs' health and safety, and/or property rights.

269. Plaintiffs adopt, reallege, and incorporate the allegations in the preceding

paragraphs and further alleges the following:

270. As manufacturers of AFFF/Component Products containing PFOS, PFOA, and/or

their chemical precursors, Defendants owed a duty to Plaintiffs and to all persons whom its

products might foreseeably harm and to exercise due care in the formulation, manufacture, sale,

labeling, warning, and use of PFAS-containing AFFF.

271. Defendants owed a duty to Plaintiffs to act reasonably and not place inherently

dangerous AFFF/Component Products into the marketplace when its release into the air, soil, and

water was imminent and certain.

272. Defendants knew or should have known that PFAS were leaching from AFFF used

for fire protection, training, and response activities.

273. Defendants knew or should have known that PFAS are highly soluble in water,

highly mobile, extremely persistent in the environment, and high likely to contaminate water

supplies if released into the environment.

274. Defendants knew or should have known that the manner in which they were

designing, manufacturing, marketing, distributing, and selling their AFFF/Component Products

would result in contamination of Plaintiffs' water supply with PFAS in varying amounts over time,

causing Plaintiffs significant injuries and damages.

Despite the fact that Defendants knew or should have known that PFAS are toxic, 275.

can contaminate water resources and are carcinogenic, Defendants negligently:

a. designed, manufactured, formulated, handled, labeled, instructed, controlled,

marketed, promoted, and/or sold AFFF/Component Products containing PFOS,

PFOA, and/or their chemical precursors;

b. issued deficient instructions on how their AFFF/Component Products should be used

and disposed of, thereby permitting PFAS to contaminate the groundwater in and

around the Site;

c. failed to recall and/or warn the users of their AFFF/Component Products of the

dangers of groundwater contamination as a result of standard use and disposal of their

products;

d. failed and refused to issue the appropriate warning and/or recalls to the users of their

AFFF/Component Products; and

e. failing to take reasonable, adequate, and sufficient steps or actions to eliminate, correct, or remedy any contamination after it occurred.

276. The magnitude of the burden on the Defendants to guard against this foreseeable harm to Plaintiffs was minimal, as the practical consequences of placing this burden on the Defendants amounted to a burden to provide adequate instructions, proper labeling, and sufficient warnings about their AFFF/Component Products.

277. As manufacturers, Defendants were in the best position to provide adequate instructions, proper labeling, and sufficient warnings about their AFFF/Component Products, and to take steps to eliminate, correct, or remedy any contamination they caused.

As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' negligence, Plaintiffs' water supply has been contaminated with PFAS, in varying amounts of time, causing Plaintiffs significant injuries and damages.

Defendants knew that it was substantially certain that their acts and omissions 279. described above would cause Plaintiffs' water supply to be contaminated with PFAS in varying amounts over time, causing Plaintiffs significant injuries and damages. Defendants committed each of the above-described acts and omissions knowingly, willfully, and/or with fraud, oppression, or malice, and with conscious and/or reckless disregard for Plaintiffs' health and safety, and/or property rights.

- 280. Plaintiffs adopt, reallege, and incorporate the allegations in the preceding paragraphs and further alleges the following:
- Plaintiffs are the owners, operators, and actual possessors of real property as defined herein.

YSCEF DOC. NO. 2 Case 1:24-cv-05217-KPF Document 1-1 Filed 07/10/24 Page 68 of 72
RECEIVED NYSCEF: 03/12/20

282. Defendants designed, manufactured, distributed, marketed, and sold AFFF/Component Products with the actual knowledge and/or substantial certainty that AFFF containing PFOS, PFOA, and/or their chemical precursors would, through normal use, release PFAS that would migrate into groundwater, causing contamination.

283. Defendants negligently, recklessly, and/or intentionally designed, manufactured, distributed, marketed, and sold AFFF/Component Products in a manner that caused PFAS to contaminate Plaintiffs' property.

284. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' trespass, Plaintiffs have suffered and continues to suffer property damage requiring investigation, remediation, and monitoring costs.

285. Defendants knew that it was substantially certain that their acts and omissions described above would threaten public health and cause extensive contamination of property, including groundwater collected for drinking. Defendants committed each of the above-described acts and omissions knowingly, willfully, and/or with fraud, oppression, or malice, and with conscious and/or reckless disregard for the health and safety of others, and for Plaintiffs' property rights.

COUNT 5: ACTUAL FRAUDULENT TRANSFER (DuPont and Chemours Co.)

286. Plaintiffs adopt, reallege, and incorporate the allegations in the preceding paragraphs and further alleges the following:

287. Through their effectuation of the Spinoff, Chemours Co. and DuPont (the "Fraudulent Transfer Defendants") caused Chemours Co. to transfer valuable assets to DuPont, including but not limited to the \$3.9 billion dividend (the "Transfers"), while simultaneously assuming significant liabilities (the "Assumed Liabilities").

INDEX NO. 152229/2024

288. The Transfers and Assumed Liabilities were made for the benefit of DuPont.

289. At the time that the Transfers were made and the Liabilities were assumed, and

until the Spinoff was complete, DuPont was in a position to, and in fact did, control and dominate

Chemours Co.

The Fraudulent Transfer Defendants made the Transfers and incurred the Assumed 290.

Liabilities with the actual intent to hinder, delay, and defraud the creditors or future creditors of

Chemours Co.

Plaintiffs have been harmed as a result of the conduct of the Fraudulent Transfer 291.

Defendants.

Plaintiffs are entitled to avoid the Transfers and to recover property or value 292.

transferred to DuPont.

COUNT 6:

CONSTRUCTIVE FRAUDULENT TRANSFER (DuPont and Chemours Co.)

Plaintiffs adopt, reallege, and incorporate the allegations in the preceding

paragraphs and further alleges the following:

294. Chemours Co. did not receive reasonably equivalent value from DuPont in exchange

for the Transfers and Assumed Liabilities.

Each of the Transfers and the assumption of the Assumed Liabilities by Chemours

Co. was made to or for the benefit of DuPont.

296. At the time that the Transfers were made, and the Assumed Liabilities were assumed,

and until the Spinoff was complete, DuPont was in a position to, and in fact did, control and dominate

Chemours Co.

INDEX NO. 152229/2024

297. The Fraudulent Transfer Defendants made the Transfers and assumed the Assumed

Liabilities when Chemours Co. was engaged or about to be engaged in a business for which its

remaining assets were unreasonably small in relation to its business.

298. Chemours Co. was insolvent or in contemplation of insolvency at the time of the

Transfers or became insolvent as a result of the Transfers and its assumption of the Assumed

Liabilities.

299. At the time that the Transfers were made and Chemours Co. assumed the Assumed

Liabilities, the Fraudulent Transfer Defendants intended to incur, or believed or reasonably should

have believed, that Chemours Co. would incur debts beyond its ability to pay as they became due.

300. Plaintiffs have been harmed as a result of the Transfers.

301. Plaintiffs are entitled to avoid the Transfers and to recover property or value

transferred to DuPont.

PUNITIVE DAMAGES

302. Plaintiffs adopt, reallege, and incorporate the allegations in the preceding

paragraphs and further alleges the following:

303. Defendants engaged in willful, wanton, malicious, and/or reckless conduct that

caused the foregoing damage upon Plaintiff, disregarding their protected rights.

304. Defendants' willful, wanton, malicious, and/or reckless conduct includes but is not

limited to Defendants' failure to take all reasonable measures to ensure PFAS would not be

released into the environment and inevitably to Plaintiffs' water supply which was contaminated

and continues to be contaminated with PFAS in varying amounts over time, causing Plaintiffs

significant injury and damage.

INDEX NO. 152229/2024 Page 71 of 72 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 03/12/2024

Defendants have caused great harm to Plaintiff, acting with implied malice and an 305. outrageously conscious disregard for Plaintiffs' rights and safety, such that the imposition of punitive damages is warranted.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs demand judgment against Defendants, and each of them, jointly and severally, and request the following relief from the Court:

- a. a declaration that Defendants acted with negligence, gross negligence, and/or willful, wanton, and careless disregard for the health, safety of Plaintiffs;
- b. an award to Plaintiffs of general, compensatory, exemplary, consequential, nominal, and punitive damages;
- c. an order for an award of attorney fees and costs, as provided by law;
- d. pre-judgment and post-judgment interest as provided by law;
- e. compensatory damages according to proof including, but not limited to:
 - i. costs and expenses related to the past, present, and future investigation, sampling, testing, and assessment of the extent of PFAS contamination at Plaintiffs' water source;
 - ii. costs and expenses related to past, present, and future treatment and remediation of PFAS contamination at Plaintiffs' water source; and
 - iii. costs and expenses related to past, present, and future installation and maintenance of filtration systems to assess and evaluate PFAS at Plaintiffs' water source:
- an order barring the transfer of DuPont's liabilities for the claims brought in this Complaint;
- g. an award of punitive damages in an amount sufficient to deter Defendants' similar wrongful conduct in the future;
- h. an award of consequential damages;

ILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 03/12/2024 01:35 PM

(SCEF DOC. NO. 2 Case 1:24-cv-05217-KPF Document 1-1 Filed 07/10/24 Page 72 of 72. Page 72 of 72. RECEIVED NYSCEF: 03/12/2024

i. an order for an award of attorney fees and costs, as provided by law;

- j. an award of pre-judgment and post-judgment interest as provided by law; and
- k. an order for all such other relief the Court deems just and proper.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiffs demand a trial by jury of all issues so triable as a matter of right.

DATED: March 12, 2024

Respectfully submitted,

NAPOLI SHKOLNIK

By: /s/ Patrick J. Lanciotti
Patrick J. Lanciotti
Andrew W. Croner
360 Lexington Avenue, 11th Fl.
New York, New York 10017
(212) 397-1000
planciotti@napolilaw.com
acroner@napolilaw.com

Paul J. Napoli 1302 Avenida Ponce de León Santurce, Puerto Rico 00907 (833) 271-4502 PNapoli@NSPRLaw.com